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Detroit, Michigan
Thursday, July 23, 2015
About 3:06 p.m.
                                    (Commissioners Burton and Mallett not
    present).
    CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Good afternoon.
    AUDIENCE: Good afternoon.
    CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Welcome to the weekly
    Board of Police Commissioners meeting. My name is Lisa
    Carter. I am the Chair to the Commission, and to my
    immediate right is the Vice Chair, Willie Bell.
    At this time I am going to ask that -- we are
    going to call the meeting to order and ask Commissioner
    Vann if he would do the invocation.
    COMMISSIONER VANN: Gracious God, we thank
    you and we praise you for this opportunity and
    privilege that we have to gather together and to
    amalgamate our minds and our hearts toward the safety
    and security of the citizens of the City of Detroit.
    We ask that you would bless our work, bless
    the Chief of our Department and their representatives
    who are present.
    We ask, O God, that you would give us a safe
    city. We ask, O God, that you would increase the peace
    and stop the violence. We ask, O God, that you would
```
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get into the hearts and the minds of our people, for we cannot stop crying until we stop the criminal.

Bless us now as we plan and deliberate together. This we ask in your name, amen.

AUDIENCE: Amen.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you, Commissioner
Vann.
At this time, Mr. Anthony, Secretary Anthony, could you call the roll, please.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Thank you, Madam Chair. For the record, George Anthony, Secretary to the Board.

You have already introduced Commissioner
Willie Bell.
Commissioner Willie E. Burton, I think he is running late.

Commissioner Reginald Crawford?
COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Present.
SECRETARY ANTHONY: Commissioner Eva Garza
Dewaelsche?
COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: Present.
SECRETARY ANTHONY: Commissioner Conrad
Mallett?
Commissioner Ricardo R. Moore?
COMMISSIONER MOORE: Present.
SECRETARY ANTHONY: Commissioner Richard

Shelby?
COMMISSIONER SHELBY: Present.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Commissioner and Bishop

Edgar Vann?

COMMISSIONER VANN: Present.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Commissioner Donnell R.

White?

COMMISSIONER WHITE: Present.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Mr. Chair, you have a
quorum -- Madam Chair, you have a quorum.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you.
At this time, I would like to introduce
Deputy Chief Renee Hall, who is standing in for the Chief.
D.C. HALL: Good evening. I just want to take a couple of moments to introduce some of the members from the Detroit Police Department.

We have Director Gail Oxendine from Personnel
and Recruiting.

We have Sandra Flippo from our Recruiting section.

We have Lieutenant Gardner, who is the OIC of Recruiting who will be doing the presentation today.

We also have Commander Bettison in the back, Lieutenant Keith Williams, Jack Finessee (phonetic).

And I think that's all I see from DPD currently.
Just a quick overview. Just from DPD, we are, you know, still experiencing some lulls as it relates to crime in all of our areas except for our homicides. We are looking at a little -- ten percent higher than we were before, our nonfatal shootings were just up maybe one-and-a-half percent than where we were last year.

Continuing our efforts to drill down, one of the things that we really want to report in our 9th Precinct, one of our more problematic areas, we are experiencing dramatic lulls. We have had zero homicides in more than 21 days, which is definitely to be commended in that area, so the work that we are doing on the street is definitely paying off.

So that's basically what we have to report from the Chief's Office.

And at this time, I will ask Lieutenant Gardner, Melissa.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Before you do that, we are going to introduce the rest of the staff.
D.C. HALL: Okay.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Mr. Anthony.
Thank you.
SECRETARY ANTHONY: Thank you, ma'am.

Board staff present, Ms. Pamela Davis-Drake, our Chief Investigator, seated in front. Ms. Linda Bernard, our attorney to the Board, seated also in front. Sergeant Alan Quinn, who is recording our proceedings, in the back. And Ms. Rhonda Foster from Hanson Court Reporting Services, taking the transcript. That completes the introduction, ma'am. CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you, Mr. Anthony. At this time, I will move for a motion to approve the agenda.

COMMISSIONER BELL: Madam Chair, so moved. COMMISSIONER WHITE: Support.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: It has been moved and
supported. Ready for the question, all in favor?
COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Those opposed?
The ayes have it.
At this time, I will move for -- I mean, I
will -- looking for a motion to approve the minutes from Thursday, July 16, 2015.

COMMISSIONER VANN: So moved, Madam Chair.
COMMISSIONER MOORE: Support.
COMMISSIONER BELL: And one minor correction, Madam Chair, on page 3, it should indicate Arthur Divers, make that minor correction on his proper name,
that should be Dr. -- try it again, Dr. Arthur Divers in terms of that correction on there, so...

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you.
So the motion was for approval of the minutes, with the noted corrections?

COMMISSIONER BELL: That is correct.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: It has been moved and seconded.

All in favor?
COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Those opposed?
The ayes have it.
And at this time, $I$ do not have a report from the Chair.

So we will move on to the report from the Chief -- I mean from the --
D.C. HALL: As I say, Melissa Gardner from Recruiting will be doing a presentation.

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Good afternoon, everyone.

AUDIENCE: Good afternoon.
LIEUTENANT GARDNER: It was stated earlier who represents our Recruiting Section. My commanding officer is Director Gail Oxendine, and I do have other staff that are not present here. They are currently
working, like they should, to get people on the Department.

I am going to start going over -- we are going to do a real brief overview of Recruiting, what we are doing in Recruiting, as well as some initiatives that we have put in place over the last year or so.

So I am going to start off by really talking about one, the mission statement for recruiting. It is the mission of the Detroit Police Department Recruiting Unit to select individuals with the commitment, integrity and skills needed to serve the community as a Detroit police officer.
(Commissioner Mallett entered proceedings at about 3:12 p.m.)

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Our Recruiting Org Chart looks as such, with Human Resource Department over us, and then we are under, directly under Human Resources.

And here is currently where we are at as far as active files in Recruiting. You will see right now we currently have 197 active files. Of the 197, we have 65 that are currently waiting MCOLES scores. And what that means is when an applicant comes in and completes an interest card, before they can even begin the process of getting into background, coming to our application orientation, they have to have completed
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the MCOLES written and the physical agility test. So of those, these are how many we are still waiting for them to submit scores.

And one of the ways that we try to help expedite that process is in the beginning phase, when they come in and they fill out that interest card, we give them a sheet of all of the areas that are currently hosting these exams, and the dates. So they are able to do that. That way we know -- and we can get them a little bit more motivated when they look at that sheet and say, oh, here is a date this week, let me go ahead and get scheduled. So we are hoping and it has improved with them getting those scores completed a lot quicker.

Another thing that we do when we are waiting for those to complete MCOLES scores, after 45 days we see that they have not initiated anything else with us, we send out a notice basically saying, hey, we see that you have applied with us. However, we still don't have your MCOLES and physical agility scores. And at times that does bring -- activates that file and gets it back in motion.

Then from there, we have what we -- the candidates -- and actually it should be our A/O, which is our application orientation. We currently have 14
that are ready. And what that means is they are going to get ready to enter into background where the meat and potatoes are. Okay.

And then we have 106 currently in background. What that means is we have -- they're assigned to an investigator, and those investigators are having them come in for their interviews. They go out and do their home assessments. We send them out to the police agencies to make sure they get their police report. We check all their employers and employment history, credit reports, anything that will give us a clean knowledge of that candidate, so that we can process them forward and get them out of background.

Then we have -- currently we have 11 that are in Oral Board phase. And what that is is that's where they go in front of the Panel. They have been -- they have made it past background, everything checked out well. So now they are in the Oral Board phase.

So once they complete the Oral Board phase and they pass, then they go into the psychological process. Now, that's twofold. We have a written portion, and we have one where they go in front of -an oral portion in which they go in front of a doctor.

We -- as of last week, we have hired 29 candidates. Two of those are fire, so it would be 27
police candidates. And as of last year, we had three Academy classes that graduated, which gives us a total of 69 officers now sent out on patrol. Okay.

Here are some of the Recruiting strategies and accomplishments. We have improved efficiency in our application tracking resulting in more thorough processing of all of our applicants and reducing the time to hire cycle from 26 weeks to 10 weeks.

And one of the ways we did that -- before I came to the unit, what was going -- what was the regular practice was candidates were going into background without certain documentations. And the documentations that we look for are birth certificates, social security cards, and things of that nature. Well, we would have a candidate come in one week with a birth certificate, two weeks later with their social security card.

So in the Application Orientation process, they are required to bring all required documents needed to get into background. And when we did that, we were able to process them a whole lot quicker in our background phase. We decreased time in background by redesigning Application Orientation workshops, scheduling workshops more frequently, and making changes to expedite the medical evaluation process.

And we met with our medical section, and we got with our -- Henry Ford is who we are using to complete them through medical. And any time where we have to do a mass hire and we are pushing people through fast, meaning they are clearing and we are getting them done, because once they get to Oral Board, they are going right into these other arenas. And so therefore they can actually come on site and process our people a lot quicker than us having to schedule, along with their own operations. So that has helped us to expedite them through medical.

We have increased home visits and reference interviews for -- of candidates. We really make sure that the officers and the investigators, when they are finalizing their background phase, that they are going out to the home. And we look -- because in the background, we are looking for criminality, discrepancies in information that they have provided us early on in the process. When we go out to the home, now we are looking at ordinance-type things. Are they keeping their house up? Are they mowing the lawn? How do the neighbors feel as far as are they loud? Are they causing havoc in their own communities? And that allows us to finalize on that level as far as how they are as an individual in their own communities and in
here.
Improving contact and communication with applicants in order to maintain interest and expedite processing. What we did here was we have a generation that's technology savvy. And we found that the old mailing system doesn't help our process because that can send us back two to three weeks, if not months. So a lot of our information we are doing now is through Internet. And that allows us to transport information back and forth, get in contact with our candidates. They have these devices on their phones. They get it. They are able to contact us. And we can clear up any issues that we need to clear up.

Pursued opportunities to make presentations in area colleges and high schools, creating high school field trips and job shadowing workshops. One of the things that we know is we need to target people for the future. I know we are in the process of getting people to come on board now, but we also want to invest in our future. And from understanding what are some of the disqualifiers for our candidates, if we can hit them in the 7th and 8th grade, for those who are interested in becoming a law enforcement officer, what their profile, personal profile should begin to look like, and how they can better prepare themselves to be a viable
candidate for our organization.
(Commissioner Burton entered proceedings at about 3:20 p.m.)

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Recruiting, 2015 activities, we have attended 12 colleges and universities. Created interest in police careers through presentations at colleges. We have our really phenomenal internship program, and Ms. Flippo has done an excellent job to the point where colleges are now asking us to come out and present. And they are really embracing it. Because, one, we don't bring our interns in to just file. Okay. We want them to get a full understanding of what it is to be in law enforcement. So we put them in patrol. They see what community relations is about. They go into specialized units. They get to see the administrative side. And then from there they can get a better determination on if this is the best career path for them. And we have had an excellent turnout. And it was amazing because we had one college that chose to not be interested, and found out how successful our program is and begged for us to pick them back up and allow them to participate in the internship program. So we feel that it is -- has become a success.

```
Creating interest in -- okay. I covered
```

that.

Several -- served on college professional
advisory boards at ITT and South University. Again, Ms. Flippo and myself, we go out to the colleges. And they have what they call advisory boards where they talk about their criminal justice curriculum. And what are some things that they need to do to change to address the issues of today? So we sit on those boards. We let them know what are some of the current issues in law enforcement, where we need to target and educate those that want to come into the field of law enforcement on how to better serve those communities, the communities that they are going to serve.

Participated in NOBLE events and emphasize on Recruiting and diversity. I just returned from NOBLE. And recruiting appears to be the hot topic across the country as far as how we are going about our selection process, making sure that the candidates come on fit the organization, they understand the team dynamics, they understand the culture, and that they are mirroring the communities in which they are serving. So it was an awesome, awesome experience. We received a lot of viable information that will be funneled out through our organization.

Took part in precinct-sponsored bazaars and
events, as well as meetings and events -- sponsored bazaars and events, as well as meetings, and sponsored community groups and City Council.

We have really made it an effort to support all organizations in getting the message out that we are hiring and that we are looking for quality individuals to come on this Department. And to do that, we have to be visible. We have to be out there. We have to educate them on what it is that the Detroit Police Department is looking at, and to do that is to be at these meetings. We do go to the churches. And we support that mission. And when we stand aside with all these different organizations on the same goals in mind, I think we get a better result, a better candidate.

Earlier I talked about our internship program. And what you see here is the 2014 internship program, as well as the 2015. So as of last year, we had 33 interns that were selected from a pool of 150. It was a two-day -- they went to a two-day mini Academy, a five weeks -- five weeks they were in the precincts, five weeks they were in specialized units. Then we did a coffee and cake. We want to give them the feel of the actual -- in a real quick synopsis what it is like from start to finish. Because they have to
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go through somewhat of a similar process to get into the internship program. Because one of the things is we don't want to give people the false hope that they can get a career in it, and they have things in their profile that would not allow them to move forward. So we want to make sure that they are viable and that through this experience, they will transition from the internship right into our process, and hopefully into the next Academy class.

And out of the last year, we did have four that were in the process at that time, and four of them that actually ended up getting hired. Okay.

And this year we had 22 interns in our winter program; 43 that's currently in our summer program. And again, they are assigned to the precincts and specialized units. And some have been added to public info.

It is funny because when we first started the internship program, we are sending them to the precincts. The feeling wasn't very welcoming. But now I am glad to say that now we have people in different units requesting internships. So we are seeing a change in the dynamics and the culture of officers embracing these recruits and helping them to understand what it is all about to be in law enforcement. And
then we will be hosting a coffee and cake.

We currently have five of those interns that have completed interest cards. And internship has expanded this year to three programs per year, and 50 intern programs focused on DPD careers.

And here are some of our continued initiatives. We want to convert our manual application process to a paperless online application tracking system.

We want to increase diversity by implementing targeted recruiting events, making sure that we are hitting all areas of Detroit and not just focusing on one particular area. Creating a women's forum to attract females to a career in law enforcement; continue to expedite the application process by reducing background time and enhancing use of electronic communications, expanding recruiting outreach to high school programs such as ROTC, Explorers, women's organizations, veterans groups, groups underrepresented in the current force. And we really are going to be focusing on interacting with some of the youth programs that are already developed and tapping that particular group. Partnering with ecumenical communities to increase community awareness of employment opportunities. Expanding
intern programs to three rotations per year with primary focus on converting interns into hires.

And developing partnerships with organizations such as Dress for Success, Plato's Closet, to assist applicants to obtain business attire. One of the things that we find is some people don't come in because they don't have the required dress. So we are looking to coordinate and hopefully getting some of these different areas to donate, whether it be ties or, you know, lightly used suits and things of that nature.

And develop partnerships -- okay. I covered that.

And developing a recruiting video. We are currently working on a video with our --

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: Central photo.
LIEUTENANT GARDNER: -- central photo, thank you, with our central photo unit to put a really nice video together that we can, when we go out on career events, or most, for instance, churches have video announcements, maybe being able to give them a blurb when we are doing hiring practices or hiring that will get people inspired to want to look at this as a career opportunity.

And at this time, I am going to open the
floor for questions.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you, Lieutenant
Gardner, for the presentation.
Before we take any questions, I would like to
acknowledge the presence of Commissioners --
Commissioner Mallett, who came in about 3:12. And
Commissioner Burton, who came in about 3:20. Thank you for joining us.

At this time, Commissioners, do you have any questions?

COMMISSIONER VANN: Madam Chair, I do.
COMMISSIONER SHELBY: Through the Chair,
thank you for your presentation, Lieutenant Gardner.
LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER SHELBY: I applaud the
internship program. The intern, you can bring individuals on, you give them actual hands-on experience, where they can actually go around to different departments and see, it gives them a different perspective as opposed to someone just coming in. Believe it or not, most people find out about law enforcement through watching TV, you know, movies, and that's not the real world. So I would really like to see the intern program expanded. I wish all of the individuals could go through that because it would give
them -- like, say, we give them invaluable experience.
My question is, when it comes to retention, how are you doing when it comes to retention? In the old days in the past, other departments would send people down here to get trained, and after they got trained, I mean, they would literally stay two weeks and they would leave and go to outside departments. How are we doing in that area?

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: And I am glad you asked that question, because one of the things we are looking at, and again, coming back from NOBLE, I heard mentor, mentor, mentor, and developing mentoring programs within our organization that tracks what people want to do career-wise with us, whether it is to stay as a patrol officer, we want you to be the best patrol officer, be involved in our field training program, that you can make sure that if that's where you want to stay in your career, that you are training and passing on viable knowledge to them.

And also tracking those who are looking to move up within the organization, what they need to be doing now to better prepare themselves for that.

So we will be looking, when we talk about retention, those types of things, because sometimes they don't realize what we have here. And if we
continue to show and promote the positive sides of our organization, that's going to help build that retention.

COMMISSIONER SHELBY: What I meant from retention is a lot of the suburban departments, because of the cost of training, they will tell the officer, go to Detroit, get training, come back and we will hire you. In regards to that, are we addressing that in any way?

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Yes, we are. But one of the things we also want to remember, too, is we don't want people staying in our organization that don't want to be here. Because then we know that they are not buying into the mission of this organization, they are not treating the citizens the way that we would want them to be treated. So even though that is an issue, and that's an issue with any organization, whether it be in law enforcement or any other profession, and in knowing that, we do want to find ways to retain them, but if their whole mindset was from the start to just, unfortunately, use the program that we have, they are going to do that anyway, and then they are going to find themselves out of here. But we can through showing them and hopefully through the training that they receive at the Academy, get them to buy in and
say, you know what? I came in here with a notion to walk away with this gift they are about to give me, but I built a camaraderie, and I want to stay and invest. DIRECTOR OXENDINE: Gail Oxendine, Human

Resources Director.
Just to add to that, we have also been looking at attrition specifically. We have done some recent analysis of attrition, and also future attrition that we would be anticipating. And what I can tell you is during the past two years, attrition has decreased. So it decreased from 2013 to 2014 by about 6 percent. And from '14 to '15, it is on the downward trend of about 11 percent.

What we found also in terms of future attrition is that during the next -- next -- this fiscal year, 2015/16 fiscal year, that we have about 500 officers that are eligible for retirement. The next following two fiscal years, that number decreases to almost zero. And the reason for that is that there was a period of time where there was no hiring. And so what we were really trying to concentrate on is, where do people leave? You know, at what stage in their career do they leave? And some of our analysis shows that, yes, we do have a little bit of a spike for people who first come into the Academy, but the biggest
spike is when people have reached ten years of employment. That's where we lose most of the officers is in the 10 - to 12 -year range. So just to piggyback and give you that additional information in terms of analysis, we are looking at that. We are also having discussions with the Mayor in terms of how we can combat that.

COMMISSIONER SHELBY: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you.
Any other questions?
COMMISSIONER VANN: Madam Chair, just a quick question that $I$ think is in the area of attrition, but maybe on the front end.

How many officers, I mean, how many people do you bring into the Academy? And then vis-a-vis, how many are actually hired? So how many are rejected, you know, what is your ratio, what is your ratio of retention on the front end with recruits?

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Those -- once they get
into the Academy, it is not so much that we reject them. They find that it is difficult and they drop out. In the last Academy I believe we only lost two. Currently, honestly we lost three as of this week for this particular Academy that started. But again, that's what it is designed to do for those who find it
difficult, whether it be the classroom setting or the physical workouts that they have to do. But on average, we lose probably about one to two with each class that we conduct.

COMMISSIONER VANN: I think I am also
referring to $I$ guess prior to that, the application phase --

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Okay.
COMMISSIONER VANN: -- so the drug testing
issues and all of those issues.
LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Yeah. Currently, and one of the biggest ones, a lot of people think it is the drug portion, is really dealing with their driving record and sometimes credit. And then we have the drug issue. And we have changed the policy to address that. So we are -- which has allowed some of our younger youth who experiment in let's say the college phase of their life, it allows them to really regain themselves, and be a viable candidate, because we look at the fact, okay, you know, there are going to be that group that go out there and experiment, but that does not mean that they cannot come on and serve within our organization. So that's what we look at, as well. COMMISSIONER VANN: So you changed the standards?

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: What we did was, in the drug area, yes, we did. M-hum.

COMMISSIONER VANN: From what to what?
DIRECTOR OXENDINE: I think it would be better suited if we discussed that in a closed session, sir. But let me just say this. That we did some benchmarking for agencies across the nation, including the FBI. And what we found is that our standard was way out of sync with other agencies. And so we realigned our standard to be in -- to be more like other standards of other agencies. So, you know, so we will talk about those specifics. We can give you those specifics in a closed session, sir, if you allow that.

COMMISSIONER VANN: Sure.

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: Thank you, sir.
LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Then you'll have a whole bunch of people qualify.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Any other questions?
COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: Yes, Madam Chair, if I may.

Actually, you answered a few of my questions because I have several. And I don't want to take up a lot of time. But in terms of -- well, the background of each of the items -- during the background check, are each of the items weighted? And you did say the
drug -- the -- you look at the person's drug use, and credit. Or driving record and credit. LIEUTENANT GARDNER: M-hum. COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: And so what weight do you give to credit? Because, you know, everybody has a problem with their credit at some time in their life.

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: Yes. Let me just say generally speaking, because I don't -- I think really these are kind of questions that we probably need to handle in a closed session, but let me just say generally speaking, we don't weight any of the areas. Okay. There is no score attached to it, if you will. What we do is there is a standard. And either you meet it or you fail the standard. There is no weighted scale, if you will.

With respect to credit, we understand that this nation has gone through a period of downturn financially.

COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: And our City has gone through bankruptcy.

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: It has. And we have been in worse shape than the nation in general. And we have taken that into consideration. So in the past where a candidate may have been disqualified for certain credit
issues, that no longer exists. What we are most concerned with is people being responsible. And so we have altered our standard to reflect that, in terms of responsibility. But we don't disqualify as long as candidates assume responsibility. And so without giving any specific information that would be better in a closed session, I hope that kind of answers your question.

COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: It does. And, for instance, do you give candidates an opportunity to come back after they have rehabilitated in certain areas?

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: Yes, we do.
COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: And then I just have a question. Are most of your applicants who are applying employed or unemployed? Or do you have that information?

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: I have never really paid attention to that, but the Lieutenant says that they are mostly employed.

COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: Employed. Okay.
And then you are focusing on veterans and women, I saw that in your -- and that's commendable. I think that's great.

We -- you indicated that one of the goals of the Department is to -- or to follow other departments in that recruiting applicants to mirror the City -DIRECTOR OXENDINE: Yes.

COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: -- that we serve now in Detroit.

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: Yes, that is correct. COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: How are we doing in that area? I have been to a couple -- let me just add that $I$ have been to a couple of graduations and $I$ don't see that. I think diversity is a problem in terms of who we are getting on the Department right now. I am sure we are getting some excellent applicants, but $I$ just don't see it mirroring the city.

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: Yes. I would say that we are improving in diversity, but we are not where we need to be. And we -- part of the issue is not getting enough qualified applicants so that we have the option to assure a class of diversity. That's part of our challenge. It is improving, and we will maybe share some of those things at that meeting that we are talking about, to give you a little better insight there. But we hope that through these more targeted recruitments, going into specific communities, the women's forum that we are looking to arrange, and that kind of thing, that we can -- we can build the number of qualified applicants coming through the doorway,
that would be a more diverse group. Yeah.
COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: And I saw that in your presentation. That's great.

I just -- real quick. In terms of the number of people that are coming through, you mentioned that there are like 33 in 2014, 33 interns. And of those four were hired.

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: Yes.
COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: Are we doing
anything to try to -- I mean, were the other 31 -- is that what it is? 31 -- yeah, 31, were they potentially good candidates that fell off somehow? Are we doing anything to try to bring those back into the pipeline? I mean, I just see that, you know, you had -- or at some point, you had 40 something, 30 something. What happened to those individuals?

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: Some of them were disqualified --

COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: Oh, okay.
DIRECTOR OXENDINE: -- during the background phase of the investigation. Some of them chose to go with other agencies. Some of them decided they didn't want a law enforcement career as a result of being part of the process. Some of them are still in the process. Some of them elected to wait until they graduated. We
had maybe juniors or seniors who wanted to complete their education before they came on board. So it is a variety of reasons. That was our first class, if you will, of interns.

What we have noticed is that with each class we get, that the number of people interested is increasing. We are excited about expanding the program to 50 police career interns, if you will, come the fall. And then, of course, going fall, winter and summer full-blown all year round. And we are hoping that those numbers will also increase in terms of who we get in the Academy.

So we had four that were hired. We have a dozen or so that are still in the process. We have I think one that's ready to hire. We have one that we just put in the Academy on this past -- what was it? Monday the --

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Two.
DIRECTOR OXENDINE: Okay. Two. I stand corrected. I have some files on my desk that look good. So I mean it is picking up, it is growing. And we are hoping that it will continue to be a feeder to the Academy.

COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: Well, thank you. Thank you. I appreciate it.

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Through the Chair, yes, ma'am, what is the current number of -- in this current recruit class right now in the Academy, what's the number of candidates you have in there?

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: Twenty-four.

COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Twenty-four.
LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Well, actually it's -so it would be 26.

COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Twenty-six. What's the racial make-up of that class, if you have it?

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: We want to call it the United Nations. We have got a little bit of everybody in this class.

COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Okay. Well, that's great.

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Yeah, we do. We have a really good mix. And I thought I might have had that breakdown.

COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: And also on that issue of credit, even Donald Trump filed for bankruptcy. And he is running for the President of the United States.

Okay. Okay. What you just said is it is quite a diverse class.

There was another question on --
DIRECTOR OXENDINE: We can get those numbers. COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: -- on the issue of retention, in terms of people who graduate from the Academy, they are certified, and I don't know if that's the area that Commissioner Shelby was talking about where they just, you know, they get the certification, and then they leave the Department within a couple of months, or six months or a year or something like that.

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: You know, we sometimes are able to get those numbers, but unfortunately, they can go to the precinct, be there six months, and leave, or a year or two years. So we wouldn't necessarily always have that information viably available, but we can.

COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Yes, ma'am. Because historically, that's been an issue historically of -- and I just want to say Detroit Police Department is one of the greatest police departments in the country. I mean, I know that from traveling the country and other police departments and, you know, the work here, you know, it is one of the best. And it is one of the best cities to work in too. And so there are departments all across the country and even in this state that, you know, are looking for certified
officers, particularly if they just get certified and leave and go somewhere else. And historically, that was an issue, because even Chief McKinnon, when he was Police Chief under Mayor Archer, and City Council, they only came up with some type of commitment contract or something like that. Of course, eventually that kind of faded out, they tried to retain officers, they tried to get a two-year commitment. And I think legally there might have been some issues with that.

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: There were. Let me just say this, that we do have the data you are looking for for 2014/15.

COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Yes, ma'am.
DIRECTOR OXENDINE: And I can provide that information to the Board subsequent to this meeting.

But what I do want to point out is that when we did the analysis at least for that year's worth of data, what we found was that, yes, we lose people going to other police agencies, without a doubt. But the overwhelming number of people that we lose is due to retirement. Not due to being recruited away. So I can provide you with that information subsequently.

COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Also I want to add that some leave and they come back.

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: And that is correct.

COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: That I know. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: I have one last question, Lieutenant.

The only upfront cost for the recruit would be the physical agility test and the reading and writing test, is that correct, that they have to pay?

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Yes. That is. And there are a few incidentals that they have too, when they are providing certain documents, they may have to go back and pay for those documents, again, maybe 5 or \$2, depending on the agency they are getting it from.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Okay. Is there ever an instance where an individual cannot afford to -- I know the reading and writing. Do you do the reading and writing in-house?

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: No, that's MCOLES. They conduct it.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: It is conducted at different universities at different sites?

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Yes.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: You don't provide the reading and writing.

Do you provide the physical agility?
LIEUTENANT GARDNER: We have only in
instances where they are going through the process and they have done their due diligence to get us the information. They might from time to time find that their scores expire. Then we will call up the Academy and ask them if they will host an agility exam.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Okay. And they still
have to pay the --
LIEUTENANT GARDNER: No, we don't make them -- it is free.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Okay. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER SHELBY: Through the Chair, are there individuals that go to the colleges, they come to Detroit Police Department, they are already MCOLES certified, a lot of them have the criminal justice programs, like Oakland Community College, where you can actually go -- you go through a regular Academy, and you get certified by MCOLES, are you getting applicants from those?

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Yes. We get a few of those for every Academy class that we hold.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Any other questions?
COMMISSIONER BELL: Madam Chair, I have one question, not for the Lieutenant, but I am looking forward to that discussion reference to dealing with recruiting, because when you mentioned driving records
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and credit, seem like we revisiting that from the early
'70s and '80s. And we know the issue that we had to fight through to resolve those issues. And we resolved them. And it appears that they have been reinstituted because we know most young people, in fact, some of these officers, need to be driving -- we know how they drive out here. They leave a whole lot to be desired. So I am hoping that we have discussion on that, that you highlight, it is not the drug issue, it's the driving record and credit rating. I don't know any other job that they look at your credit rating before you can apply for a job. But we -- I understand the logic behind it.

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: All of them. All of them.

COMMISSIONER BELL: All of them do? Okay. DIRECTOR OXENDINE: Yes. COMMISSIONER BELL: Okay. I am not in the job market.

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Yes. All of them do now.

COMMISSIONER BELL: All of them do. Okay. LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Yeah. COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: You are talking about departments, police departments, right?

LIEUTENANT GARDNER: No. Other agencies.
COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: Talking about
anywhere? All employers?
LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Yes.
COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: No. They don't
all. Maybe a large number do.
DIRECTOR OXENDINE: A large number look at
credit.
COMMISSIONER BELL: Many of them do, but a whole lot of them do not, because I am going through that process. My daughter is relocating. And that's not an issue they are dealing with in terms of credit, in terms of looking at, you know, such and such, but we can have that discussion.

But I do have a question for Deputy Chief Hall in reference to -- I had an opportunity to interact with Deputy Chief Mary Jackson, and her classmate is not on the wall. And Billy Willis' cousin, are you familiar with her?
D.C. HALL: Isn't she on this wall down here?

COMMISSIONER BELL: She was the first --
D.C. HALL: Deputy Chief.

COMMISSIONER BELL: No, I think -- I know she was a commander. But $I$ think in the history of the DPD, that she was the first female to command a
precinct.
D.C. HALL: She's on -- if $I$ am not mistaken, her picture is on this wall down here. This wall down here is just the, you know, current and past Chiefs.

COMMISSIONER BELL: Okay.
D.C. HALL: And then here are all the firsts.

Ella Bully-Cummings who was the first female Chief.
COMMISSIONER BELL: Yes.
D.C. HALL: Assistant -- First Assistant

Chief Stair, who is the first female First Assistant Chief and then First Deputy Chief, first Commander that were females. So that's on that wall.

COMMISSIONER BELL: That's why -- I will look at that because I think she pointed out, maybe I am wrong, you know, we will take a look at that, she was the first female commander promoted in terms of 12 th Precinct commanding officer there. So I thought that was historic that she was sort of my classmate, she is not there.
D.C. HALL: I am almost certain she is on this wall down here.

COMMISSIONER BELL: Okay. Thank you.
Appreciate it.
Was there any other feedback from the issue with the young man with the wheelchair, not the
wheelchair, but the rolling in the street?
D.C. HALL: Oh, last week, the motorized?

COMMISSIONER BELL: Yeah, the motorized vehicle.
D.C. HALL: I don't have any infor -- I did receive the information that he had some issues in Greektown. I do know that Commander Barren, as well as Captain Petty, was attempting to address those issues. Where we are right now on Monroe Street, they are looking to make that pedestrian only. So right now we are just working with -- we have the officers working with the pedestrians and the business owners to allow, if he is in the area, to allow him to, you know, to travel in the streets. The only issue is as long as there is vehicular traffic, we have to ensure his safety, so we can't allow him in the street when vehicles are there.

COMMISSIONER BELL: Okay. Thank you for
that.
D.C. HALL: You're welcome.

COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: Madam Chair, if I
may, just one comment. There was an article in this week's paper about New York City having hired its first diverse, really diverse class, that they have had in a very, very long time. And they were talking about how
really proud they were of that. And I am just
wondering if we should contact them to find out, you
know, what it is that they did to recruit such a diverse group. I am sure all the departments are having the same problem, you know.

DIRECTOR OXENDINE: We'll look into that.
Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you.
Any other questions?
Thank you, Lieutenant.
LIEUTENANT GARDNER: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Are there any standing
committee reports?
Standing committee reports?
We will move on to new business.
At this time, I am going to ask Commissioner
White to read the resolve for retired police officer Alfred Paige.

COMMISSIONER WHITE: Commissioners, before us is a Resolution honoring retired Police Officer Alfred Paige.

Therefore be it resolved that the Detroit
Board of Police Commissioners, speaking for the citizens of Detroit and the Detroit Police Department,
award this resolution to Police Officer Alfred Paige in recognition of his 37 years of committed service. We salute and congratulate you, Police Officer Alfred Paige, for your fidelity to law enforcement.

Signed this day by the Detroit Board of Police Commissioners.

Move for adoption, Madam Chair.
COMMISSIONER BELL: Second.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: It has been moved and second.

Ready for the question, those in favor?
COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Those opposed?
The ayes have it.
At this time, $I$ am going to ask that
Commissioner Bell read the resolve for Corporal Justin Woodbeck.

COMMISSIONER BELL: This Resolution is for
Corporal Justin Woodbeck.
Justin Woodbeck was appointed to the DPD 2001, February the 13th, and he served at 12th Precinct.

And be it resolved that the Board of Police Commissioners, speaking for the citizens of Detroit and the Detroit Police Department award this resolution in
recognition of Corporal Justin Woodbeck's 14 years of dedicated and diligent public service. His professionalism, integrity, and standard of commitment to the City of Detroit merit our highest regard and best wishes for continued success.

We salute and congratulate Corporal Justin Woodbeck for his career.

I so move.
COMMISSIONER MOORE: Support.
COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: Support.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Those in favor?
COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Those opposed?
The ayes have it.
At this time, we will -- I am going to ask
that Commissioner Moore read the -- no, is retired
Sergeant Gayle Smith here?
SERGEANT SMITH: Yes, she is.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Okay. If you will step
forward, please. And Commissioner Moore is going to present --

COMMISSIONER MOORE: Thank you, Madam Chair.
Resolution honoring Sergeant Gayle Smith --
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: She is here.
COMMISSIONER BELL: She is here.

SERGEANT SMITH: You saw me when I walked in.
COMMISSIONER MOORE: Excuse my back everyone.
Resolution honoring Sergeant Gayle Smith.
Whereas, Ms. Gayle Smith began her career with the Detroit Police Department on April 24, 1989, and upon graduating from the Detroit Metropolitan Police Academy, she was assigned to the 2nd Precinct; and

Whereas, Police Officer Smith later served in the 2nd Precinct in the professional education and training division; and

Whereas, on May 21st, 1999, Officer Smith was promoted to the rank of sergeant and was reassigned to the professional education and training division where she remained until her retirement, and

Whereas, Sergeant Smith was the deserving recipient of one Chief's Merit Award, Perfect Attendance Award, Major League Baseball All Star Recognition Award, the Rose Parks Funeral Recognition Award, and the Super Bowl 40 Recognition Award, as well as numerous letters of commendation from citizens and superiors, and

Whereas, Sergeant Smith diligently served the Department, citizens of the Department with loyalty, dedication and integrity for 26 years.

Now therefore be it resolved that the Detroit

Board of Police Commissioners, speaking on behalf of the Detroit Police Department and the citizens of the City of Detroit recognize the lifelong commitment to public safety of Sergeant Gayle Smith. Her pride and dedication has been a valuable asset to the Department and merit our highest reward.

We thank and congratulate you, Sergeant Gayle

Smith.

From the Detroit Board of Police

Commissioners.

Move for adoption.
COMMISSIONER MALLETT: Support.
COMMISSIONER BELL: Second.

COMMISSIONER MOORE: Just an amendment.

That's 27 years that Sergeant Smith served.
COMMISSIONER MALLETT: Let's get it right.

SERGEANT SMITH: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER MOORE: You hold it. It is
yours.
(Photo taken).

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Sergeant Smith.
(Applause).
SERGEANT SMITH: Oh, I am sorry. I am in
retirement mode. I am seriously retired.

I just want to say thank you for the
recognition. Twenty-seven years is a long time. And I can think about a lot of things that occurred when I first -- the first precinct I went to wasn't the 2 nd, it was the 7th precinct. And there was so many different things that $I$ learned from so many different officers. What to do and what not to do. And I am proud of that. I am proud that I didn't get in any kind of serious trouble. And I am proud that I made it thus far and I am still in good health.

AUDIENCE: Amen.

SERGEANT SMITH: That's the main thing. So once again, thank you. Never know, I might make a -I might make Mayor. Never know. Just kidding. But thank you. Thank you very much. (Applause). (Multiple inaudible speakers). CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you, Sergeant Smith, for your words. And thank you for your service. AUDIENCE: Amen.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: And as you stated, many people don't make it to this point. So enjoy your retirement.

We have a motion before us at this time. Those in favor of the motion to adopt as
amended?

```
    COMMISSIONER MOORE: So moved.
    COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
    CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Those opposed?
    The ayes have it.
    And I believe that Sergeant Smith, your --
does the poster have to be -- does the resolution have
to be redone?
    SECRETARY ANTHONY: It can be, but that came
from -- the information came from the Department.
    CHAIRPERSON CARTER: It should be corrected.
    COMMISSIONER BELL: It should be corrected.
    CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Give it back, please.
    SERGEANT SMITH: Do you want it back?
    CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Yes. Give it back.
    SERGEANT SMITH: Do you want it back?
    SECRETARY ANTHONY: Wait until we get
    through.
    CHAIRPERSON CARTER: They are going to work
    that out.
    At this time, we are going to move on to old
    business.
```

    And under old business, we have the Elections
    of Officers Procedure.
COMMISSIONER BELL: Madam Chair, I move that
the Board of Police Commission adopt a policy and procedure as outlined for election of officers, that we receive a copy, and that was drafted by attorney to the Board at this time.

COMMISSIONER VANN: Question, Madam Chair. COMMISSIONER BURTON: Second.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Discussion?
COMMISSIONER VANN: Madam Chair?
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Yes, sir.
COMMISSIONER VANN: I just want to -- I
think, and I am hoping that this is something that will help us to avoid the debacle that happened this year with our election. I just want to make sure that there is some sense of transparency here with those persons who would be offering themselves for leadership.

I don't quite see it in this document with regard to them, in the spirit of transparency, letting us know what their intentions are. It appears as if this document still pushes all of the positioning to the back room. And I am concerned about that.

I have raised this before, as thoughtfully and as respectfully as I could. And it got whitewashed out of the minutes. And I would hope that that would never happen again. My comments were not recorded with regard to what happened with the election before. And

I am not going to -- I don't want to see that happen again.

I just think that if there are persons that are going to offer themselves for leadership, then it should be stated publicly. It should not be a behind the scenes, around the corner thing.

And I think all of us are commissioners. We maybe didn't get here on the same ship, but we are in the same ship now. There is no such thing as a tier 1, tier 2, bootleg commissioner. We are all commissioners here. We are all giving our public service here.

And I don't want to see this Board unduly politicized. That was not the original intent of this Board. Never was. Wasn't.

You can trace the history. I have been on this Board more than one time. That's not the history of this Board, not the spirit of the Board, not the reason why the Board was put in place.

I would hope that, number one, we don't whitewash minutes.

Number two, that we have a process here by which a person states their intention publicly before the Board, in some meeting or whatever it may be, so that everything is above board and on top of the table.

There is -- I think all of us both -- have a
collective goal to see our Commission empowered, reempowered. But it is things like this that are going to stand in our way if we are not careful. And so I would just offer that. I don't have to have my way, just have my say. But I would offer that, that somehow persons who are offering themselves for leadership should be upfront about it. Motives should not be back behind in the corner somewhere. And we should not seek to politicize this Commission anymore than necessary.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you.
Yes. Commissioner White?
COMMISSIONER WHITE: Thank you, Madam Chair.
I echo some of the comments of my colleague, Commissioner Vann.

Also would like to make some additional comments. I applaud the efforts of the individuals who put together this policy. And I think I gather its intent.

My recommendation would be is that we forward this to the Policy Committee. I think for a policy bylaw adjustment that we are recommending, certainly all commissioners should have an opportunity to weigh in, particularly through a committee/subcommittee format.

I would be interested to know if this was benchmarked against other election and procedural for other open meeting bodies.

There are a lot of other questions that I would have, that this probably is not the best forum to kind of vet out a new policy recommendation.

Certainly would like an opportunity to receive it more in advance before a vote that is taken.

But most importantly of all of my comments would arise with the line item on voting, that all members present -- only members present are eligible to vote. Here I think we have an opportunity as commissioners with various schedules, individuals who travel, who have other working commitments, if we are going to lock ourselves into a date, we certainly have an opportunity to provide for and implement a policy set forth that -- maybe it is a ballot procedure that -- I just want to rise and say we have an opportunity to make sure every commissioner's voice is heard, irrespective if they are able to make it on that date or not, and not lock ourselves into not having every commissioner's voice heard.

Thank you, Madam Chair.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you, Commissioner White.

Any other comments?

COMMISSIONER BELL: Madam Chair, I just must respond to my colleague in terms of stating that minutes were whitewashed. At this type of public forum, as you well know, all our minutes are recorded. And every word verbatim is recorded. And that is the standard that this Board abide by. So those recorded remarks are there for anyone to want to review.

We receive a condensed highlights of the minutes for our meetings.

But $I$ think that to make a statement like that, that reflects on our staff, our Board Secretary, who do an excellent job in terms of recording highlights in the minutes. I haven't heard any other concerns in reference to issues of that nature.

And I just feel offended by -- when you make remarks like that.

Nevertheless, I think that in any electoral process, people are not happy because there are winners and losers. And you move on. And you accept the consequences.

We witnessed that with the Council a couple of years ago in terms of a close vote, but it prevailed. You witnessed that in the whole process, how they changed the criteria. So we have to live with that.

If you want to campaign for any job, it behooves that candidate to campaign with the person who is going to elect you. And that's why we move to have our election versus a year ago, at a private meeting like this, we had a public forum where people could witness democracy, so --

But I think we need to move on and just get that behind us, in the spirit of unity and the spirit of this Board. I am well familiar with the history of this Board, I have been involved with this Board my entire career, since 1971. So --

But we don't vote by proxy. There is a process that the attorney put together. We want to try to get this in front of us. In terms of scheduling for the next year, you will know how the process work, it mirrors to other boards in the city, how they function. So let us move on in the spirit of unity. There is no hidden agenda. But when you come down to election, it behooves you to lobby with that individual that might be able to vote for you. That's how the process work. There is no division, but it is clearly indicated they are an elected commission, they are an appointed commissioner. That's the Charter. That's what the people wanted. That's what they address.

And our goal should be to focus on

December this year, to get reinstated by the City
Charter. That would be the mandate.
And as an elected person, an appointed person, I have always respected this entire body. And I will continue to do that.

And I would hope that if you have some issue, perhaps take a side bar conversation to talk with the individual one on one, and maybe you can resolve.

But I have no issue with any commissioner on this Board. I am a very public person. I speak my mind.

To me the world is black and white. I stand on those merits. And I would go forth on that.

But I don't like statements of that nature, that implying that there was something tampered with. But I think we do an excellent job. I am proud of the staff in terms of working up the highlights in a short -- they send them out to you. And you have the opportunity to amend those minutes at any meeting that we have, as we have today and in the past. So it behooves you.

But attendance always going to be somewhat lacking in terms of your busy schedule for anybody. This is a nonpaid position. So we try to serve to the best of our ability. And that's what we will do.

And I would hope in the spirit -- that we need a little bit more unity. Maybe we need to talk to one another versus a public forum. I would suggest that we have that type of interaction, because I see some of my commission, I really never -- I don't know your background, I don't know your history. I know of you, but we haven't had that type of conversation. And I think maybe you need to know a person in terms of that type of interaction, maybe we need some type of retreat. That would be helpful.

We have an issue now where we have a commissioner that's serving, but we haven't received any official written notice to that effect. There is no documentation coming forth from the Mayor office. We have drafted a letter requesting an official document. If we talking about business, then that person should not be starting --

COMMISSIONER WHITE: Madam Chair, point of order.

COMMISSIONER BELL: I have the floor, sir. COMMISSIONER WHITE: Point of order.

COMMISSIONER BELL: I have the floor.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: He has the floor.

COMMISSIONER WHITE: Point of order.
COMMISSIONER BELL: I just think that we need
to just go forward.

COMMISSIONER WHITE: Point of order, ma'am.
COMMISSIONER BELL: We need that type
of documentation --

COMMISSIONER WHITE: Thank you, Madam Chair.
Any conversation should be centered on the motion currently, not to cut my colleague off, but I just don't want us to get too far away from where we are. Any conversation should be centered on the motion properly before us.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Okay. We have a motion before us.

COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: Madam Chair, I have a comment.

I did have an addition that I wanted on this document, but having heard Commissioner White indicate that this is a policy, we probably should refer it to the Policy Committee, I also agree, because this should mirror the bylaws, since there is a section in the bylaws that talks about election of officers. And there is a section in the bylaws or a statement that says the Chair cannot serve consecutive terms. So I mean, I would think that that could be added into this, or this could be an amendment to the bylaws. And if I recall, the bylaws say that a commissioner is -- serves
a one-year term, and I know I am getting away from what we are discussing, but serves a one-year term, and until the Mayor appoints the new commissioner or someone is elected. So if that person has not been appointed, whoever it is that you are referring to, is here, following the bylaws from what $I$ understand.

COMMISSIONER BELL: That's not in the bylaws, Madam Sec -- not in the bylaws, but I move for the question.

COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: It is in the
bylaws.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Commissioner Crawford? COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Through the Chair. COMMISSIONER BELL: I called for the question.

COMMISSIONER WHITE: The question has been called for.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Let's vote on the motion.

Those in favor?

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Those opposed?

COMMISSIONERS: No.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Rollcall.

COMMISSIONER VANN: Voice vote, Madam Chair.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: We are doing a rollcall. SECRETARY ANTHONY: Give me a moment. CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Yeah.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: The motion was made to approve the -- to adopt the policy or procedure as was drafted by Attorney Bernard regarding the election of officers.

That motion was made by Commissioner Bell. And I believe it was seconded by Commissioner Mallett; is that correct?

COMMISSIONER BURTON: No, Burton.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Burton. Thank you. That
is the motion.

This is the rollcall vote on the motion. Commissioner Willie Bell?

COMMISSIONER BELL: Yes.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Commissioner Burton?

COMMISSIONER BURTON: Yes.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Commissioner Crawford?

COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Yes.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Commissioner Eva Garza

Dewaelsche?

COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: No.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Commissioner Conrad
Mallett?

COMMISSIONER MALLETT: No.
SECRETARY ANTHONY: Commissioner Ricardo

Moore?

COMMISSIONER MOORE: No.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Commissioner Richard

Shelby?
COMMISSIONER SHELBY: Abstain.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Commissioner or Bishop
Edgar Vann?
COMMISSIONER VANN: No.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Commissioner Donnell

White?

COMMISSIONER WHITE: Nay.
SECRETARY ANTHONY: Commissioner Lisa Carter?

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Nay.
SECRETARY ANTHONY: Madam Chair, the motion
was defeated.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER WHITE: I would like to make a
substitute motion that the issue of the amendment to bylaws as regards to the policy and procedure for election of officers be referred to the policy subcommittee for a recommendation to be brought back to this body.

COMMISSIONER MOORE: Second.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Those in favor?

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Those opposed?

The ayes have it.

Thank you.

COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Still under old
business?

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Under old business, yes.

COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Yes, Madam Chair. I
know one of my other fellow commissioners just
mentioned the word "transparency" a while back. And for the sake of transparency, too, in terms of Commissioner White still having a seat here, on the Board, I would like to know where that research is in terms of about being perhaps -- or the appearance -- or we don't want to be in violation of the City Charter. So if you could -- yes, ma'am, Attorney Bernard, if you could address that? Because we need to know perhaps a date in terms of his five-year, because it is explicit in the Charter as to once one's term of five years is up, that they are not supposed to be on the Board.

ATTORNEY BERNARD: You are correct.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: For the record, please, your name.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: No, I was just going to
say he has not served a five-year term yet.
COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: I am asking for the date. Yes, ma'am.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: For the record, I am sorry.

ATTORNEY BERNARD: Commissioner Crawford --
SECRETARY ANTHONY: State your name for the record.

ATTORNEY BERNARD: Linda Bernard, attorney to the Board.

The first question is that we have requested from the Mayor's office an official notification regarding Mr. -- regarding Commissioner White. We have not yet received that. I think that was your first issue.

COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Yes.
ATTORNEY BERNARD: The second issue is that, as I recall, I didn't bring the letter appointment down, but as I recall, I think Commissioner White was appointed on November -- it is either $23 r d$ or 26 th, in the year 2000. So he would be eligible to continue --

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: 2000?
ATTORNEY BERNARD: 2010, excuse me, 2010. I apologize. So he would be eligible to continue under the Charter until November 23 rd or 26 th, and I can
forward you that information, 2015.
COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Through the Chair.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Yes.
COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Yes, ma'am. This is nothing personal against Commissioner White, because he is a -- I think he is a great person, and great commissioner. Also, he is the Executive Director of the NAACP, been around for 106 years. And they have advocated voting rights. So I just want to make sure that we are not in violation or that anything that may occur that will not be in violation of the City Charter. That's all.

COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: Madam Chair, if I may. Is there a reason why we -- if we have that information, then is there a reason why we asked the Mayor for a letter then?

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Because we don't function under verbal orders. We need something in writing.

COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: No, but I am saying if he has not served his five years, if we look at our bylaws, then we would know --

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: The term ended.
COMMISSIONER BELL: Madam Chair,
Commissioners, that each appointment of commissioner,
there is an expiration date. And his expiration date was June 30th, 2015. You have one also.

COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: M-hum.
COMMISSIONER BELL: There has been a gray area. So at this particular time, this debate, whether the Mayor has authority, there is a legal ruling from the Law Department. And even if this Board want to reappoint someone, do we have that authority? But you have an expiration date. That's been the past history of this appointment position.

So we are trying to -- right now, all we receive, the Chair receive a verbal dialogue in reference to the Mayor is going to reappoint me or I have been reappointed. But as you well know, that's not how we should operate.

I looked up the minutes from the -- speaking of minutes -- from the Council. And the Mayor reappointed a person to another board, a letter to the Council. I don't think he have to go that far as with this Board, I mean, to the Council, and need approval. But I think there has to be some form of communication that there is a written document the Mayor exercising of authority. And that's the area that we are concerned about. We should have had that three weeks ago.

COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: Madam Chair, I
think the sense of urgency would be in November when his five years expires. The bylaws supersede probably a letter that indicates you have an expiration date. COMMISSIONER VANN: Madam Chair?

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Yes.

COMMISSIONER VANN: If I may.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: M-hum.

COMMISSIONER VANN: I have served on this Board before. Pattern and practice has always been for appointments, that until there is another appointment, you serve. That's -- I mean, that's what -- it's always been that way since 1974. I don't know why this issue is bubbling up now and why we are making demands for somebody to send us a letter. I just -- I don't think it is appropriate. I served for seven years on this Commission before, because I served until I was displaced. I served until another commissioner was appointed. And at that discretion, there was no commissioner appointed. So I served another two years or whatever it was that I served, and Eva knows that. COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: M-hum. COMMISSIONER VANN: I served for another two years until someone was appointed in my place. CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Commissioner Crawford?

COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Through the Chair.
And that was prior to the 2012 Charter, which is what we are operating under now, the new City Charter of 2012 states that you can only serve five years. I don't know what the other Mayors did or what was done on the -- well, I do know something about what happened with the previous commissions, because I used to come to all of the meetings.

COMMISSIONER VANN: Right.
COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: And I was working for the Department at that time. But if November the 23rd or 26 th is his date, then yes, he is in good standing, or in good seating one might say because he can sit here for five years, since five years is not up until the $23 r d$ or $26 t h$.

However, we haven't seen anything in writing. And like I said, what was done previously, we have another Charter now. We have this Board is completely different, you know, with elected and appointed alike. And there is no -- I am not making any difference between the two, but I am just saying we need to abide by the Charter.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER MALLETT: Madam Chair, listen, I am not in this discussion one way or another. I will
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point out, however, that the Charter is like any other legal document. It is subject to interpretation. Somebody has to look at the English language, it is extraordinarily complicated, and determine what is meant by the language presented. Some authority, not us, may look at it and say exactly what Bishop Vann said, that the absence of silence could imply that what occurred before should remain.

It could also be, as Commissioner Crawford pointed out, it could be exact, and it could be that the five years means exactly what it said.

If I look at this, I think the variety of documents that we have here, there was a request, through the Chair, again, to Ms. Bernard, have we asked the Law Department for an interpretation? I thought I saw that among the various pieces of communication, at least we let Butch Hollowell know of our concern, right?

ATTORNEY BERNARD: Commissioner Mallett, yes, we have. I did request an opinion from the Law Department, which dated back to I believe a 1996 opinion related to the Election Commission. And the ruling was that you continue to serve in 1996 until your replacement is appointed.

The interesting thing, though, about this
particular situation is that as Commissioner White knows, his letter said that his term expired July 1st, along with Ms. Taylor's. Ms. Taylor only had four years on the Board of Police Commissioners.

You, in fact, had a ceremony and you acknowledged the Mayor's written letter of appointment, which in your instance was Dave Bing. And of course also for Jessica Taylor.

So this is an ongoing issue. I have requested information from Mr. Barnhill, who I spoke to personally. And he said he was going to talk to the Corporation Counsel, and I have, as well, because I requested the opinion from the Corporation Counsel, and as I indicated, they simply relied on the 1996 opinion. They have not sent me anything new. I have done some research on the question, as well.

COMMISSIONER MALLETT: And excuse me, Madam Chairperson, and again, I am looking at a letter, I think you wrote, right, Lisa Carter?

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Yes.
COMMISSIONER MALLETT: There is no conflict for Mr. White to serve until November 29th or until you name a successor. So --

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: It should be clear.
COMMISSIONER MALLETT: Well, maybe it is.

Maybe it isn't. All I am saying is the chairperson has written a letter dated July 17 th where she said what Bishop Vann said. So, you know, whatever it is -CHAIRPERSON CARTER: And that's what I believed, but I have no problem with that. And the only thing requested is that somebody tells us in writing that --

COMMISSIONER MALLETT: Madam Chairperson, I am with you. I am with you. I am just saying that it's subject to interpretation and we are looking for somebody to give us some degree of clarity.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER MALLETT: I just didn't want to leave the impression that it was -- that the question was clear, because it is not.

COMMISSIONER BELL: Well, Madam Chair, I just want to say in this issue that we would not have gone through a retirement process, if that was the case of continuation, then that means that Commissioner Taylor would still be with us until that person appointed. So we wouldn't have had went through that elaborate process to retire a person, then the next week the person show up, verbally say, I am still here. We look sort of foolish, and then -- but we haven't received no written communication because the opinion is based prior to the 2012 Charter. So that's all we asking for is some clarity. In that case, Commissioner Taylor could have served these three weeks without any issue. But we didn't know that. And this Board didn't know that. So that's where we stands. And if you agree with me, that's what we are tying to get clarity. COMMISSIONER MALLETT: I don't disagree. COMMISSIONER BELL: We want the Mayor to respond.

COMMISSIONER MALLETT: Yeah. We are talking about Commissioner White. Yeah, you're right.

COMMISSIONER BELL: Right. I'm just going to give you an idea of what took place. You know, you give him a plaque, retirement, next minute, you know, he is still with us, like, wait a minute, what are you doing here? I mean, people raise that issue. I raise that issue. What's the reality? Past practice not good enough. This is 2015.

COMMISSIONER MALLETT: I hear you, Brother.
COMMISSIONER VANN: Madam Chair?
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Yes.
COMMISSIONER VANN: I think that before we give anybody any plaques or cookies and punch, that we ought to make sure that they have been replaced.

COMMISSIONER BELL: Yes, sir.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Right.
COMMISSIONER VANN: So if they have not been
replaced, then we have no business giving them a
plaque.
COMMISSIONER BELL: Yes, sir. Right.
COMMISSIONER VANN: Just that simple.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: That point is noted. COMMISSIONER BELL: Yeah. That's the point. CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you.

COMMISSIONER MALLETT: So no plaque for you, Commissioner White.

COMMISSIONER VANN: No plaque until you're replaced.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Or cookies.
COMMISSIONER MALLETT: Or cookies.
COMMISSIONER BELL: But he already had them.
He already got his cookies and punch.
COMMISSIONER BURTON: And cake too.
COMMISSIONER MALLETT: We take that out of the budget.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: We are going to move on now to announcements.

The next Board of Police Commission meeting will be Thursday, July 30th, at 3:00, here at the Detroit Public Safety Headquarters.

And the next Community Meeting will be at the 5th precinct at Mount Pleasant Missionary Baptist Church, 21150 Moross, one block south of I-94, August 13, 2015, at 6:30 p.m.

At this time, we will entertain oral
communications from the audience. Please give your name and limit your comments to two minutes. Thank you.

MR. JOHNSON: I ain't going to take that long.

Good afternoon, honorable body. My name is Don Johnson. I am interim president of citywide police community relation, and president of 12 th Precinct police community relations. I have been for over 20 years.

My question is, as I look at the Board of Police Commissioners here, I see that -- I know that a lot of you were elected, and some of you are appointed. The question is, do the appointed commissioners have the same rights as the elected commissioners --

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Yes.
MR. JOHNSON: -- for the people that want to run for office?

COMMISSIONER MALLETT: Yes.
COMMISSIONER DEWAELSCHE: Yes.

MR. JOHNSON: Okay. I had not heard that. So now I understand that. Thank you very much.

My question for my good friend, Deputy Chief Hall.
D.C. HALL: Yes, sir.

MR. JOHNSON: Years ago we used to do our feasibility studies for the Detroit Police Department through cities like Chicago and places like that, because we were similar. People fail to realize or forget back in 1973 and '74, when Coleman Young became Mayor, that the City of Detroit and the City of Chicago was running neck and neck as the largest city in that number, 3 or 4 and 5. Yeah, a lot of years ago.

But I have heard us use documents and information from cities like Baltimore and Grand Rapids. Well, the make-up is not the same. And when we do that, $I$ think we cheat ourself by real demographics for the City when we go to the City for feasibility studies that's smaller than Detroit and not like Chicago.

So I would hope that we would continue to put Chicago there and make sure it has the -- well, we have our best interests from a city like that, because that's how we used to fight against all the time, being that number 3 or 4 person.
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D.C. HALL: I think the one thing to keep in mind is when we do feasibility studies, we have to take into consideration size. And that's why we use cities like Baltimore. To compare ourselves to a city like Chicago, they have 2.8 million people.

MR. JOHNSON: I know.
D.C. HALL: We have 700,000. So we have to take cities like Baltimore, like Philadelphia, and, you know, Atlanta, those kinds of cities, but we try to stay as close to our demographics as possible, the Atlantas, the D.C.s, the Baltimores are predominantly African-American cities with the same type of demographics, overall economics and things like that. And we just have to make sure that we are looking at the numbers.
(Interruption).
D.C. HALL: And that we are -- amen.

MR. JOHNSON: Boom-shack-a-lacka. That's
okay.
D.C. HALL: Right now. Hallelu.

COMMISSIONER VANN: I apologize, Madam Chair.
D.C. HALL: Hallelu.

Okay. So basically, that's where the feasibility studies, that's why they come from those cities, is because we are looking at the same manpower
numbers, same 700,000, roughly, about 1,500 to 2,000 police officers.

MR. JOHNSON: Okay.
D.C. HALL: So that's where those studies are coming from. But we do -- keep in mind, the Chief looks -- he has the experience that --

MR. JOHNSON: Oh, I know.
D.C. HALL: -- you know, some of our Chiefs
haven't had, that he has been to several different areas, so he is able to bring the ideas and the different policies and procedures that come from other places in order for us to operate. So we are looking at the entire spectrum.

MR. JOHNSON: Okay. And my last question to you is that, you know, we have always had a policy and a procedure in place with the Detroit Police Department, I know when I joined back in '69, where when you come, when you finish the training and what have you, that you almost had -- I don't know if it was a verbal commitment or a written commitment, that you would be on the force for at least two or three years. And I don't know, maybe they were just telling us at the time we were --

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: The law has changed since when you were in the Academy.
D.C. HALL: Absolutely.

MR. JOHNSON: I know the law has changed drastically, I know.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: MCOLES changed a lot of that.

MR. JOHNSON: Okay. I was just wondering. I didn't know if we -- and I saw that my friend, the attorney here, when they said about putting things in the legal area for making people stay, but I think it is kind of criminal to do all that training, and there is a lot of wonderful training over the years that Detroit has given people that have left and went on to other agencies. And there has got to be a way to stop that because I know -- I know --
D.C. HALL: I think we have to basically make our city more attractive, make our Police Department more attractive. And that's what we are doing. The Chief and the Mayor are working together with Director Oxendine, and just looking across the country to see what bonuses, what pays, all of those things, and how we can get our Department to be competitive with other agencies, because that's what we are losing officers for is, you know, usually pay, unless they are retiring and doing something else. So we are just looking to make ourselves more marketable and then people will
want to stay, because it is a great job.
MR. JOHNSON: Right. Amen. Thank you.
COMMISSIONER MALLETT: Madam Chairperson?
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Yes.
COMMISSIONER MALLETT: If I could just
comment on that. We experience the same thing at the Detroit Medical Center.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: You have to get closer. COMMISSIONER MALLETT: We experience the same thing at the Detroit Medical Center. We have nurses come in, particularly nurses, who come into Detroit Receiving and to Sinai-Grace. One year at either facility, you can go almost anyplace in the country, and almost name what you want to make, if you say that I have worked in the Emergency Department at Sinai-Grace Emergency Department, Emergency Department at DRH.

So on the one hand, because of the change in the law, you work as diligently as you can to create as a similar circumstance as you possibly can.

What we have found, and I know that the Chief can respond to this, as well, when we ask the nurses, why are you leaving? If it is not for pay, why are you leaving? They are going to an easier job. They are going to an easier job. It is just less stress.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: For more pay.
COMMISSIONER MALLETT: For more money. CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Yeah.

COMMISSIONER MALLETT: And so it is really
just slightly more pay. It is just an easier gig.
You can go to Grand Rapids and see, you know, two or three gunshots a month. You at Sinai-Grace, and it is a different story. Let me just put it that way. Or Detroit Receiving. So it is a -- as much as the Chief might do, the Assistant Chief might do, the Mayor might do, it is going to be an easier gig in West Bloomfield. It just is.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you.
MR. SCOTT: Ron Scott.
I just want to raise a couple of questions and concerns $I$ have in terms about what Director Oxendine said.

I come to most of these meetings, and $I$ know a lot of people, citizens do. And when you say that we will discuss certain matters, you know, that we have, that should rightfully be before the public, that we will discuss these in closed session, I would like a clarification as to how that relates to the bylaws in terms of what is discussed in closed session and what is, in fact, discussed before the public.

Because there are many of these things, especially in terms of recruitment, that go back to -with me, many, many years. And it is very, very important in terms of transparency, the process, the analysis, in terms of how recruitment is done, especially for the public.

So, you know, we should be very careful about suggesting we are going to discuss this in closed session, and set up a multi two-tier process where the Board has access to information and the public does not.

I mean, I interface with people all the time, you know, what am I going to tell them? How will I know certain things if it is not apparent, and it is not presented in these meetings, or we have to do a Freedom of Information Act request to get it because it is not presented here?

So I think that the just sort of ancillary referral, well, we will discuss that in private, and that we won't discuss it before the public, I have a problem with that.

And I think we need to clarify when that is said and how it is said and under what circumstances it is done. That's one thing. Especially in terms of the situation about driving record and also the credit. I
mean, this is an issue especially.
And also about the question of ethnicity, gender and so on. I mean, we have a situation all over this country where we are dealing with the question of race. I want to know how many black people are being hired. I want to know how many Latinos are being hired. I mean, you should not have to fumble on that. You need to know what that is. That has created a major problem in this country. So let's not fail to be transparent on those things.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: I think that Director Oxendine said that that information was available and she would get it to us regarding the ethnicity. So we will get that information.

MR. SCOTT: And what about --
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: And then --
MR. SCOTT: Go ahead. Sorry.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: First Assistant Chief
Washington is going to address your other issues.
D.C. HALL: I know. I get one every day.

MR. SCOTT: I am specifically concerned about the credit and driving thing because I am going to a public hearing on Monday about parking tickets where people's license could be suspended. I have meetings with other Chiefs of Police in this area where they
talk about things like driving, where specifically young black people are excluded because of these things. You know, I want to know what's happening, so -- because I work as hard as anybody else out here to make sure that we have people -- and the one thing that isn't even mentioned, want to know what do people know about the cultural history of Detroit? Willie Bell and I just did an interview about stress. You have got people, officers coming on this force that know nothing about the cultural history of the people they serve. And I mean black, white, Asian, Latino and so on.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you, sir.
A.C. WASHINGTON: Celia Washington, legal advisor.

And first I want to say thank you to Lieutenant Gardner and to Director Oxendine for putting on a really good presentation with regard to Recruiting, and the data that was given.

And as you mentioned, Madam Chair, the specifics as to racial breakdown, that data the Director has on hand and she will provide to you.

The discussions with regard to those items that are very sensitive, because they will probably include specific incidents, and I do apologize, I think

I omitted Commissioner Vann from an e-mail that $I$ sent earlier, we really do need to have a candid conversation, not suitable for the public, to talk about some of the specifics and some of the examples of those candidates who were disqualified.

And as Mr. Scott knows, I have been doing this, I have been around here a long time, those personnel matters are very specifically excluded from Open Meetings Act. And the disclosure that Mr. Anthony reads every time that you go into closed session to discuss those, there is a reason why that the legislature has determined that certain matters are rightfully excluded. It is not a matter of being nontransparent. It is not. But some of the things that we are going to talk about, and I thank Mr. Vice Chair for, you know, allowing us to go into closed session to talk about some of the MCOLES concerns, because it is important. And I applaud this Board, because you want to get it right. We are very sensitive to getting this right, and not just as Mr. Scott mentioned, and I appreciate his comments, we have been around here a while together, not to just disqualify people based on a credit score. I have been -- Commissioner, I was here when Commissioner White got here. And it was in November, by the way.

COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Five years.
A.C. WASHINGTON: Yes, sir. I cannot offhand
remember any incident where a candidate was
disqualified because they had a low credit score only.
So the inference that we are looking for 800 credit
scores to work on the Detroit Police Department is offensive to me. Because that is not the case. So it is generally in connection with some other factors. And those are the types of things that we would like to bring real examples of some of those so that the Board understands the criteria, not just of the Detroit Police Department, but of MCOLES. So I thank you.

COMMISSIONER MALLETT: Madam Chair, can I ask -- so Mr. Scott, however, I think was referring to the standards, not to the specifics.

So to the degree that there is a standard, his observation, which $I$ have some sympathy for, is that if we are using credit scores, even if we didn't want to be specific, we should I think be in the position to at least cite a range.
A.C. WASHINGTON: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER MALLETT: And if we want to talk about driving, if there -- clearly we can't be specific, but that could there be the articulation of a standard? Something like in the presence of three moving violations, determined by the Department to have placed at risk members of the public in a way that could be deemed reckless or something -- you know what I am saying? So that then the standard becomes something then that we can discuss, we can present, and that persons like Mr. Scott when they go into other circumstances can say, as best as I can determine, based on the public information that's available to the -- because Ms. Oxendine did say that there is no weighting system. So here is the criteria. All of these things are generally taken into account. And without being specific, the range of credit scores that requires -- that we believe based on our experience requires attention is $X$ up to $Y$. And for certain kinds of driving offenses, we believe that two or three, whatever our professional opinion is, where there is evidence of a pattern -- I mean, there's got to be a way for us to publicly express what the standard is. A.C. WASHINGTON: Absolutely. COMMISSIONER MALLETT: So that without violating anyone's specific rights of privacy, but at the same time to give the public a general understanding of the criteria we use in the selection process.
A.C. WASHINGTON: I totally agree. And I
almost said Judge. I totally agree and I understand. What I envision personally, because we have struggled in this area over the past few months, what I envision is that a presentation be made to this Board in closed session, and we have got an amazing Panel of very qualified individuals, so that we can take whatever information that is gathered from that presentation, because the standard that you have to use in determining thumbs up or thumbs down on a candidate that's been disqualified is not the same criteria that Mr. Scott is talking about. So I am hoping that out of this closed session, that we will be able to present a statement that's appropriate for the public. That's my hope.

COMMISSIONER MALLETT: Okay.
A.C. WASHINGTON: But the purpose of the closed session is more to assist this Board in the evaluation process as it relates to certification or not.

COMMISSIONER BELL: Madam Chair, that meets the criteria Open Meeting Act, to discuss issues of that nature, personnel --
A.C. WASHINGTON: The personnel matters -COMMISSIONER BELL: -- outline what we can engage in. And I agree that this issue is age old;
that I know this Board in the '70s addressed it head on to try to include us in the process. Even if they had to do referrals or have that person held in abeyance for one year or two years --
A.C. WASHINGTON: Absolutely.

COMMISSIONER BELL: -- to clear up those
issues. If not, we would not have integrated this Department with that old criteria, because as we know, people in the '50s and the '60s and the '70s were flat out discriminated against, across the board. So we don't want to be in that position because I know we helped other departments all over this country try to address those issues, because it is something that was dear to our heart once we became officers, and some of the people that -- like your father hired in, it was the cream of the crop that got through.
A.C. WASHINGTON: Right.

COMMISSIONER BELL: That's why I made mention about, you know, Commander Willis and Mayor Jared, they had a different criteria, college degree, they were trailblazers, and we must appreciate that. But if we don't know the history, we repeat ourself.
A.C. WASHINGTON: Absolutely, sir.

COMMISSIONER BELL: And here we are, predominantly black city, and we have got people
marching now because they feel excluded. You know. So those are realities.
A.C. WASHINGTON: Yeah. And Mr. Vice Chair, I appreciate you saying that because all I can go on, I have been here longer than --

COMMISSIONER BELL: Yeah. The lieutenant.
A.C. WASHINGTON: Thank you for recognizing that.

COMMISSIONER BELL: We love you.
A.C. WASHINGTON: Those instances that I
mentioned to you and that you just shared, again, there have been no instances that $I$ can recall that that person was flat out permanently disqualified from the process because of a credit score or necessarily for a driving record. There -- at least not in the five to six years that $I$ served this Board. It didn't happen.

So again, I am offended at the inference that we disqualify people because of a low credit score. In many of those instances, we allow, like you just said, one -- or come back in a year, let's take another look, come back in two years, to give yourself a chance to rehabilitate yourself.

Thank you, sir.
COMMISSIONER BELL: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you,

Ms. Washington.
Are there any other oral communications?
COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Ms. Farmer, you have any update for us?

MS. FARMER: Alexis Farmer.
No, I don't have any updates as far as a meeting with the Chief. I am still waiting to hear back. In the meantime, I am still kind of cleaning up the complaint data, and working on people -- with people internally as far as how we can best visualize it, so that way when it is all ready to go, it's a complete data set, as well as some visualization tools that help people really understand what the complaint data says and really tells a story and provides some context to it. But that's really mostly what I have.

You mentioned an article earlier, and I read something this morning from the Sunlight Foundation that wrote an article about police data and consent decrees, and just saying that how consent decrees have sometimes led to more open data and transparent policies, like in New Orleans, for example, but they did mention Detroit and how Detroit may be somewhat of an exception to that. So I would encourage people to look at that article. But that's all. It was by the Sunlight Foundation, and they are also involved in the

Police Data Initiative.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Can you send it to me?
MS. FARMER: Sure. I can send it to you.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you, Ms. Farmer.

COMMISSIONER CRAWFORD: Thank you.
CHAIRPERSON TAYLOR: Any other oral
communications?

Any other?
COMMISSIONER BELL: Madam Chair, I just have one brief comment, please. And I just want to make mention, Mr. Scott, about the company from Arizona who came in here doing the piece on stress. You know, and also that we are meeting on the eve of the 1967 civil disturbance.

So I think it is very crucial as we move forward, and you know what is happening all over this country, in reference to issues impacting people being incarcerated, at traffic stop, the most recent instance was very disturbing how a minor traffic stop went to a person losing their life. You can see the body cameras is coming. I can't wait until we are able to get that.

But there is a whole lot of issues that impact DPD that this Board should be weighing in on behalf of the community. And I just hope that we can put aside these little personal agendas or whatever
that is, that we can move forward on that note to get to the business at hand, and that that should be the intent of us trying to have a spirit of cooperation. Because we have got officers putting their lives on the line daily, you know.

And I don't know if we do an exit interview of why they leaving. We know the salary is significant, we know the condition. If you got 70 percent of the officers not live in the City of Detroit, we talked about that, then, you know, that's an issue, you know, but look at Grosse Pointe, like you mentioned. They make a decent salary. And they have a comfort zone. Our officers do not have that. So I think we need to do whatever we can in terms of supporting these officers in DPD who are working on behalf of this community.

That's my closing remarks. Thank you.

CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Thank you.
Are there any other comments?

Any other comments?
Any other comments?
At this time, I would entertain a motion for adjournment.

COMMISSIONER BELL: So moved.

COMMISSIONER MOORE: Second.

## 7/23/2015

``` CHAIRPERSON CARTER: So moved and supported. Those in favor? COMMISSIONERS: Aye. CHAIRPERSON CARTER: Those opposed? Meeting is adjourned. Thank you all for coming. (Proceedings concluded at 4:49 p.m.)
```

```
STATE OF MICHIGAN )
```

) $S S$

COUNTY OF ST. CLAIR )

I HEREBY CERTIFY that I reported stenographically the foregoing proceedings and testimony under oath at the time and place hereinbefore set forth; that thereafter the same was reduced to computer transcription under my supervision; and that this is a full, true, complete and correct transcription of said proceedings.


Rhonda M. Foster, CTR 3612

Notary Public
My Commission Expires: 3-11-2021
—
A.C 81:14 83:2

83:21 84:19,25
85:16,23 86:5
86:17,23 87:3
87:7,10
A/O 10:24
abeyance 86:3
abide 53:6 66:21
ability 55:25
able 10:9 12:21
14:12 20:21
34:11 52:19
54:20 75:10
85:12 89:21
absence 67:7
Absolutely 76:1
83:21 84:19
86:5,23
Abstain 60:7
Academy 12:2
17:21 18:9
23:25 24:25
25:15,20,22,24
32:12,16,23
33:4 34:5 37:4 37:16,20 45:7 75:25
accept 53:19
access 79:10
accomplishments 12:5
account 84:11
acknowledge 21:5
acknowledged 68:6
Act 79:16 82:9
85:21
activates 10:21
active 9:19,20
activities 15:5
actual 17:24
21:17
add 24:6 30:7
35:23
added 18:16
57:23
addition 57:15
additional 25:4
51:15
address 16:8

```
26:15 41:8
54:24 61:18
80:19 86:13
```

addressed 86:1
addressing 23:8
adjourned 91:5
adjournment 90:23
adjustment 51:21
administrative 15:16
adopt 47:25 49:1 59:5
adoption 43:7
46:12
advance 52:7
advisor 81:15
advisory 16:3,5
advocated 63:9
afford 36:14
African-American 74:12
afternoon 3:6,7 8:19,21 72:11
age 85:25
agencies 11:9 27:7,9,11 31:22 35:19 39:1 76:13,22
agency 36:12
agenda 7:10 54:18
agendas 89:25
agility 10:1,20 36:6,24 37:5
ago 53:22 54:4 64:25 73:6,13
agree 57:18 70:5 84:25 85:1,25
ahead 10:12 80:17
ain't 72: 9
Alan 7:4
Alexis 88:5
Alfred 42:19, 21 43:1,3
alike 66:19
allow 15:22 18:5 27:13 41:12,13 41:16 87:19
allowed 26:16
allowing 82:16
allows 13:24
14:9 26:18
altered 29:3
amalgamate 3:18
amazing 15:19 85:5
amen 4:4,5 47:11
47:20 74:17 77:2
amend 55:19
amended 48:1
amendment 46:15 57:24 60:20
analysis 24:8,23 25:5 35:17 79:5
ancillary 79:18
announcements 20:21 71:22
answered 27:21
answers 29:7
Anthony 1:25 4:8
4:8,10,11,18 4:21,25 5:3,6
5:9 6:23,25
7:8 48:9,17
59:2,4,12,17
59:19,21,24
60:2,5,8,11,14
60:16 61:23
62:7 82:9 89:2
anticipating
24:9
anybody 55:23
70:23 81:4
anymore 51:9
anyone's 84:21
anyplace 77:13
anyway 23:22
apologize 62:24
74:21 81:25
apparent 79:14
appearance 61:15
appears 16:16
38:4 49:18
applaud 21:15
51:16 82:18
Applause 46:23
47:16
applicant 9:22
applicants 12:7
14:3 20:5

29:14 30:1,11
30:16,25 37:17
application 9:25
10:25 12:6,18
12:23 19:7,8
19:15 26:6
applied 10:19
apply 38:12
applying 29:15
appointed 1:18
1:20,22,24
$43: 20 \quad 54: 22$
55:3 58:5
62:20 65:19,20
65:24 66:19
67:24 69:20
72:18,19
appointment
62:18 63:25
64:10 65:11
68:6
appointments 65:11
appoints 58:3
appreciate 32:25
40:23 82:21
86:21 87:4
appropriate 65:16 85:13
approval 8:4
64:20
approve 7:10,19
59:5
April 45:5
Archer 35:4
area 6:14 14:15
19:13 22:8
25:12 27:2
30:7 34:6
41:13 64:5,23
76:9 80:25
85:3
areas 6:4,11
10:7 19:12
20:9 28:12
29:11 75:10
arenas 13:7
Arizona 89:11
arrange 30:23
Arthur 7:24 8:1
article 41:22
88:16,18,24
articulation 83:24
Asian 81:11
aside 17:12 89:25
asked 22:9 63:15 67:14
asking 15:10 62:2 70:1
assessments 11:8
asset 4 6: 6
assigned 11:5 18:15 45:7
assist 20:5 85:17
Assistant 40:9,9 40:10 78:10 80:18
assume 29:5
assure 30:17
Atlanta 74:9
Atlantas 74:11
attached 28:13
attempting 41:8
attendance 45:18 55:22
attended 15:5
attention 29:18 84:14
attire 20:5
attorney 7:3 49:3 54:13 59:6 61:17,22 62: 6, 9, 9, 17, 23 67:19 76:8
attract 19:14
attractive 76:16 76:17
attrition 24:7,8 24:8,10,15 25:12
audience 3:7 4:5 8:21 47:11,20 72: 6
August 72:4
authority 64:6,8 64:23 67:5
available 34:14 80:12 84:8
average 26:3
avoid 49:12
award 43:1,25

45:17,18,19,20 45:20
awareness 19:25
awesome 16:22, 22
Aye 7:15 8:10
43:12 44:12
48:3 58:21
61:2 91:3
ayes 7:17 8:12
43:14 44:14 48:5 61:4
$\frac{\text { B }}{\text { back 5:24 7:5 }}$
10:21 14:7,10
15:22 22:11
23:7 29:11
31:13 35:24
36:11 45:2
48:13,14,15,16
49:20 51:7
60:23 61:11
67:21 73:10
75:17 79:2
87:20,21 88:8
background 9:24
11:2,4,13,17
12:12,20,22,22
13:15,17 19:16
27:23,24 31:20
56:6
ballot 52:16
Baltimore 73:15 74:4,8
Baltimores 74:11
bankruptcy 28:21
33:22
Baptist 72:2
bar 55:7
Barnhill 68:10
Barren 41:7
Baseball 45:18
based 69:25
82:23 84:8,13
basically 6:16 10:18 74:23 76:15
bazaars 16:25 17:2
becoming 14:23
began 45:4
begged 15:21
beginning 10:5 behalf 46:2 89:24 90:16
behooves 54:2,19 55:21
believe 21:21
25:22 48:6
59:9 67:21
84:13,15
believed 69:5
Bell1:16 3:11 4:13 7:11,23 8:6 37:22
38:16,18,22
39:9,21,23
40:5,8,13,22
41:3,18 43:8
43:16,18 44:25
46:14 48:12,25
53:1 56:20,22
56:25 57:3
58:7,14 59:8
59:15,16 63:24
64:4 69:16
70:8,12,25
$71: 5,8,16$ 81:8
85:20,24 86:6
86:18,24 87:6
87:9,24 89:9
90:24
benchmarked 52:1
benchmarking
27:7
Bernard 7:3 59:6
61:17,22 62:6
62:9,9,17,23
67:14,19
best 15:18 22:15
34:22,23 44:5
52:4 55:25
73:23 84:7
88:10
better 14:25
15:17 16:12
17:14,14 22:22
27:5 29:6
30:20
Bettison 5:24
biggest 24:25
26:12
Billy 39:18
Bing 68:7
birth 12:13,16
Bishop 1: 20 5:3
60:8 67:6 69:3
bit10:10 24:24 33:13 56:2
black 55:12 80:5 81:2,11 86:25
bless 3:20, 20 4:3
block 72:3
Bloomfield 78:12
blurb 20:21
board 1:5 3:9 4:11 7:1,3 11:15,18,19 13:6 14:19 32:2 35:15 42:24 43:5,23 46:2,10 49:1,4 $50: 12,14,16,17$ 50:17,18,23,24 53:6,11 54:9 54:10,10 55:10 61:14,21 62:10
64:7,18,20
65:10 66:18
68:4 70:4
$71: 23$ 72:16
79:10 82:18
83:10 85:4,17
86:1,10 87:16 89:23
boards 16:3,5,9 54:16
bodies 52:2
body 55:4 60:24
72:11 89:20
bonuses 76:20
Boom-shack-a-. . . 74:18
bootleg 50:10
Bowl 45:20
breakdown 33:19
81:21
brief 9:4 89:10
bring 10:21
12:19 15:11
21:16 25:15
$31: 13$ 62:18
75:10 83:10
Brother 70:19
brought 60:23
bubbling 65:14
budget 71:20
build 23:2 30:24
built 24:3
Bully-Cummings 40:7
bunch 27:17
Burton 1:17 3:4 4:14 15:2 21:7 49:6 59:11,11 59:12,17,18 71:18
business 20:5 41:12 42:16 48:22,23 56:16 61:7,8 71:3 90:2
busy 55:23
Butch 67:17
buy 23:25
buying 23:14
bylaw 51:21
bylaws 57:19,20
57:21,24,25 58: 6, 7, 8, 11 60:21 63:22 65:3 78:23

| C |
| :---: |
| cake $17: 23 \quad 19: 1$ |
| $71: 18$ |
| call $3: 13 \quad 4: 9$ |
| $16: 5 \quad 33: 12$ |
| $37: 4$ |
| called $58: 14,17$ |
| camaraderie $24: 3$ |
| cameras $89: 20$ |
| campaign $54: 1,2$ |
| candid $82: 2$ |
| candidate $11: 12$ |
| $12: 15 \quad 15: 1$ |
| $17: 1526: 19$ |
| $28: 2554: 2$ |
| $83: 385: 9$ |
| candidates $10: 24$ |
| $11: 25 \quad 12: 1,11$ |
| $13: 1314: 10,21$ |
| $16: 1829: 5,10$ |
| $31: 12 \quad 33: 5$ |
| $82: 5$ |
| Captain $41: 8$ |
| card $9: 23 \quad 10: 6$ |

12:17
cards 12:14 19:3
career 15:18
18:4 19:14
20:19,23 22:18 24:23 31:23 32:8 44:7 45:4 54:11
career-wise
22:14
careers 15:6 19:5
careful 51:3 79:7
Carter 1:15 3:6 3:8,10 4:6 5:11 6:20,23 7:8,13,16 8:3 8:7,11 21:2 25:9 27:18 36:3,13,19,22 37: 6, 10, 21 42:8,13 43:9 43:13 44:11,13 44:19,24 46:22 47:18,21 48:4 48:11,13,15,19 49:7,9 51:10 52:23 56:23 57:11 58:12,18 58:22,24 59:1 59:3 60:14,15 60:18 61:1,3,8 61:25 62:4,22
63:3,17,23
65: 6, 8, 25
66:23 68:19,20
68:24 69:4,12
$70: 21$ 71:1,7,9
71:14,21 72:21
75:24 76:4
77:4,8 78:1,3
$78: 13$ 80:11,16
80:18 81:13
87:25 89:4
90:18 91:1,4
case 69:18 70:2
83:7
causing 13:23
Celia 81:14
Center 77: 7, 10
centered 57:6,9

| central 20:16,17 | 47:18,21 48:4 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 20:18 | 48:11,13,15,19 |
| ceremony 68:5 | 49:7,9 51:10 |
| certain 12:12 | 52:23 56:23 |
| 28:25 29:11 | 57:11 58:12,18 |
| 36:10 40:20 | 58:22,24 59:1 |
| 78:20 79:14 | 59:3 60:15,18 |
| 82:12 84:14 | 61: 1, 3, 8, 25 |
| certainly 51:21 | 62:4,22 63:3 |
| 52:6,14 | 63:17,23 65:6 |
| certificate | 65:8,25 66:23 |
| 12:16 92:1 | 68:18, 20, 24 |
| certificates | 69:1,4,8,12 |
| 12:13 | 70:21 71:1,7,9 |
| certification | 71:14,21 72:21 |
| 34:7 85:18 | 75:24 76:4 |
| certified 34:5 | 77:3,4,8 78:1 |
| 34:25 35:1 | 78:3,13 80:11 |
| 37:14,17 | 80:16,18 81:13 |
| CERTIFY 92:7 | 87:25 89:4,6 |
| Chair 3:10,11 | 90:18 91:1,4 |
| 4:10 5:9,10 | challenge 30:18 |
| 7:11,21,24 | chance 87:21 |
| 8:14 21:11,12 | change 16:7 |
| 25:11 27:19 | 18:23 77:18 |
| 33:2 37:11,22 | changed 26:15, 24 |
| 41:21 43:7 | 53:24 75:24 |
| 44:22 48:25 | 76:2,4 |
| 49:5,8 51:12 | changes 12:25 |
| 52:22 53:1 | Chart 9:15 |
| 56:18 57:5,13 | Charter 54:23 |
| 57:22 58:13,25 | 55:2 61:16,20 |
| 60:16 61:9 | 62:25 63:12 |
| 63:2,13,24 | 66:2,4,18,22 |
| 64:12 65:1,5 | 67:1 70:1 |
| 66:1,24 67:14 | cheat 73:17 |
| 69:16 70:20 | check 11:10 |
| 74:21 81:20 | 27:24 |
| 82:16 83:13 | checked 11:17 |
| 85:20 87:3 | Chicago 73:8,11 |
| 89:9 | 73:20,22 74:5 |
| chairperson 1:15 | Chief 2:2 3:21 |
| 1:16 3:6,8 4:6 | 5:13,14 7:2 |
| 5:11 6:20,23 | 8:16 35:3,4 |
| 7:8,13,16 8:3 | 39:15,17,22 |
| 8:7,11 21:2 | 40:7,10,11,11 |
| 25:9 27:18 | 73:3 75:5 |
| 36:3,13,19,22 | $76: 18$ 77:21 |
| 37:6,10,21 | 78:10,10 80:18 |
| 42:8,13 43:9 | 88:7 |
| 43:13 44:11,13 | Chief's 6:17 |
| 44:19,24 46:22 | 45:17 |

Page 4

Chiefs 40:4 75:8 80:25
chose 15:20
31:21
Church 72:3
churches 17:11
20:20
circumstance 77:20
circumstances
79:23 84:7
cite 83:20
cities 34:23
73:8,15 74:3,8
74:9,12,25
citizens 3:19
23:15 42:25
43:24 45:21,24
46:3 78:19
city 3:19,24
17:3 28:20
30:1,12 35:4
41:23 44:4
46:4 54:16
55:1 61:16
63:11 66:3
73:11,11,12,18
73:18,23 74:4
76:16 86:25
90:9
citywide 72:12
civil 89:13
CLAIR 92:5
clarification 78:23
clarify 79:22
clarity 69:11
70:2,6
class 18:9 26:4
30:17 32:3,5
33:4,11,14,25
37:20 41:24
classes 12:2
classmate 39:18 40:18
classroom 26:1
clean 11:11
cleaning 88:8
clear 14:12,13 68:24 69:15 86:6
clearing 13:5
clearly 54:21
83:23
close 53:22
74:10
closed 27:5,13
28:11 29:7
78:22,24 79:8
82:10,16 85:4
85:12,17
closer 77:8
Closet 20:5
closing 90:17
coffee 17:23
19:1
Coleman 73:10
colleague 51:13 53:2 57:7
collective 51:1
college 15: 20
16:2 26:17
37:15 86:20
colleges 14:15 15:5,7,9 16:4 37:12
combat 25:7
come 10:6 11:7 12:15 13:8 14:19 15:10 16:11,18 17:7 20:7 23:7 24:25 26:22 29:10 32:8 35:24 37:12 54:18 66:8 $74: 24$ 75:11,18 77:11,11 78:18 87:20,21
comes 9:22 22:2 22:3
comfort 90:13
coming 9:24
21:20 22:11
30:25 31:5
56:14 75:5
81:9 89:21
91: 6
command 2:2 39:25
commander 5:24
39:24 40:11,16 41:7 86:19
commanding 8:23

40:17
Commencing 1:11 commendable 29:22
commendation
45:21
commended 6:14
comment 41:22
57:14 77:6 89:10
comments 49:24
51:13,16 52:8
52:25 72:7
82:21 90:19,20
90:21
commission 3:10
49:1 51:1,9 54:22 56:5
$65: 17 \quad 67: 22$
71:23 92:20
commissioner
1:22 3:13,15
4:6,12,14,16
4:17,18,20,21
4:23,24,25 5:2
$5: 3,5,6,8 \quad 7: 11$
$7: 12,21,22,23$
8:6 9:13 15:2
21:6,7,11,12
21:15 23:4
25:8,11 26:5,9
26:24 27:3,14
27:19 28:4,20
29:9,13,20
$30: 3,6$ 31:2,9
31:19 32:24
33:2,7,10,15
33:20 34:3,6
34:16 35:13,23
36:1 37:11,22
38:16,18,22,24
39:2,5,9,21,23
40:5,8,13,22
41:3,18,21
42:12,17,20
43:8,16,18
44:9,10,16, 20
44:22,25 45:2
46:13,14,15,17
46:19 48:2,12
$48: 25$ 49:5,6,8
49:10 50:10

51:11,12,14
52:23 53:1
54:23 55:9
$56: 12,18,20,21$
56:22,24,25
$57: 2,3,5,13,16$
57:25 58:3,7
58:10,12,13,14
58:16,25 59:8
59:9,11,15,16
59:17,18,19,20
59:21,23,24
$60: 1,2,4,5,7,8$
60:10,11,13,14
60:19,25 61:6
61:9,13 62:2,6
62:13,16,19
$63: 2,4,5,7,13$
63:20,24,25
64:3,4 65:1,5
65:7,9,18,20
65:22,23,25
66:1,9,10,24
67:9,19 68:1
68:17,21,25
69:8,13,16,19
$70: 2,7,8,10,11$
$70: 12,19,20,22$
$70: 25$ 71:2,5,6
71:8,10,11,12
$71: 15,16,18,19$
72:24,25 74:21
$77: 3,5,978: 2$
78:4 82:1,24
82:24 83:1,13
83:22 84:20
85:15, 20, 24
86:6,18,24
87:6,9,24 88:3
89:5,9 90:24
90:25
commissioner's
52:18,21
commissioners
1:5,14 3:4,9
7:15 8:10 21:5
21:9 42:20,24
43:6,12,24
44:12 46:2,11
48:3 50:7,10
51:22 52:12
58:21,23 61:2

```
61:10 63:25
68:4 72:17,19
72:20 91:3
```

commissions 66:7
commitment 9:10 35:5,8 44:3 46:4 75:20,20
commitments 52:13
committed 43:2
committee 42:14
42:15 51:20 57:18
committee/sub 51:23
communication
14:2 64:21 67:16 69:25
communications 19:17 72:6 88:2 89:7
communities 13:23,25 16:12 16:13,21 19:24 30:22
community 9:11 15:14 17:3 19:24 37:15 72:1,13,14 89:24 90:16
company 89:11
compare 74:4
competitive
76:21
complaint 88:9
88:13
complete 10:16 11:19 13:3 32:1 88:12 92:12
completed 9:25 10:13 19:3
completely 66:18 completes 7:7 9:23
complicated 67:4 computer 92:11 concentrate 24:21
concern 67:17
concerned 29:2 49:20 64:24

80:21
concerns 53:14
78:16 82:17
concluded 91:7
condensed 53:8
condition 90:8
conduct 26:4 36:18
conducted 36:19
conflict 68:21
congratulate 43:3 44:6 46:8
connection 83:8
Conrad 4:21 59:24
consecutive 57:22
consent 88:18,19
consequences 53:20
consideration 28:24 74:3
contact 14:2,10 14:12 42:2
context 88:15
continuation 69:19
continue 19:15 23:1 32:22 55:5 62:21,24 67:23 73:21
continued 19: 6 44:5
Continuing 6:9
contract 35:5
conversation 55:7 56:7 57:6 57:9 82:3
convert 19:7
converting 20:2
cookies 70:23 71:14,15,17
cooperation 90:3
coordinate 20:8
copy 49:3
corner 50:6 51:8
Corporal 43:16 43:19 44:1,6
Corporation 68:12,13
correct 8:6 30:5 35:25 36:7

59:10 61:22
92:12
corrected 32:20
48:11,12
correction 7:23
7:25 8:2
corrections 8:5
cost 23:6 36:5
Council 17:3
35:4 53:21
64:17,19,20
Counsel 68:12,13
country 16:17
34:20,21,24
76:19 77:13
80:4,9 86:12
89:17
COUNTY 92:5
couple 5:16 30:7
30:8 34:8
53:21 78:15
course 32:9 35:6 68:7
Court 7: 6
cousin 39:19
covered 15: 25 20:12
Crawford 1:21
4:16,17 33:2,7
33:10,15,20
34:3,16 35:13
35:23 36:1
58:12,13 59:19
59:20 61:6,9
$62: 2,6,1663: 2$
63:4 65:25
66:1,10 67:9
83:1 88:3 89:5
cream 86:16
create 77:19
created 15: 6
80:8
creating 14:15
15:25 19:13
credit 11:11
26:14 28:2,2,5
28:6,17,25
33:21 38:1,10
38:11 39:8,12
79:25 80:22
82:23 83:4,5
83:18 84:12

87:14,18
crime 6:4
criminal 4:2 16:6 37:14 76:10
criminality 13:17
criteria 53:24 83:11 84:10,23
85:10,21 86:8
86:20
crop 86:16
crucial 89:15
crying 4:2
CSR1:13 92:18
cultural 81:7,10
culture 16: 20 18:23
current 16:9 19:20 33:3,4 40:4
currently 6:1
8:25 9:18,20
9:21 10:8,25
11:4,14 18:14
19:2 20:15
25:23 26:11
57:7
curriculum 16: 6
cut 57:7
cycle 12: 8

## D

D.C 5:15 6:22

8:17 39:20,22
40:2,6,9,20
41:2,5,20 73:5
74:1,7,17,20
74:22 75:4,8
76:1,15 80:20
D.C.s 74:11
daily 90:5
data 35:11,18

$$
81: 19,2188: 9
$$

88:12,14,18,20 89:1
date 10:11 52:14
52:20 61:19
62:3 64:1,1,9
65:4 66:12
dated 67:21 69:2
dates 10: 8
daughter 39:11
Dave 68:7
Davis-Drake 7:1
day 43:5 80:20
days 6:13 10:16 22: 4
dealing 26:13 37:24 39:12 80: 4
dear 86:14
debacle 49:12
debate 64:5
December 55:1
decent 90:12
decided 31:22
decreased 12:22 24:10,11
decreases 24:18
decrees 88:19,19
dedicated 44:2
dedication 45:25 46:6
deemed 84:3
defeated 60:17
definitely 6:13 6:15
degree 69:11
83:16 86:20
deliberate 4:3
demands 65:14
democracy 54:6 demographics

73:18 74:10,13
Department 2:1 3:21 5:17 9:2 9:9,16 17:7,10 29:25 30:10
34:8,18 37:13
42:25 43:25 45:5,24,24 46:3,6 48:10 64:7 66:11 67:15,21 73:7 $75: 17$ 76:16,21 77:15,16,16 83:6,12 84:1 86:8
departments
21:19 22:4,7 23:5 29:25 34:19,21,24 38:25,25 42:4

86:12
depending 36:12
Deputy 2:2 5:13
39:15,17,22 40:11 73:3
deserving 45:16
designed 25:25
desired 38:7
desk 32:20
determination 15:17
determine 67:4 84:7
determined 82:12 84:1
determining 85:9
Detroit 1:5,10 2:1 3:1,19 5:17 9:9,12 17:9 19:12 23:7 30:4 34:18 37:13 42:23,25,25 43:5,24,25 44:4 45:5,6 46:1,3,4,10 $71: 25$ 73:7,11 $73: 19 \quad 75: 16$ $76: 12$ 77:7,10 77:11 78:9 81:7 83:6,11 88:22,22 90:10
develop 20:12
developed 19:22
developing 20:3 20:14 22:12
devices 14:11
Dewaelsche 1:18
4:19,20 27:19
28:4,20 29:9
29:13,20 30:3
$30: 6$ 31:2,9,19
32:24 38:24
39:2,5 41:21
42:12 $44: 10$
57:13 58:10
59:22,23 63:13
63:20 64:3
65:1,22 72:25
dialogue 64:12
difference 66:20
different 17:13
$18: 21 \quad 20: 9$
$21: 19,20 \quad 36: 20$
36:20 47:6,6
66:19 75:9,11
78:8 86:20
difficult 25:21
26:1
diligence 37:2
diligent 44:2
diligently 45:23
77:19
directly 9:17
Director 5:18
8:24 20:16
24:4,5 27:4,15
28:8,22 29:12
29:17 30:2,5
30:13 31:8,17
$31: 20 \quad 32: 19$
33:1,6 34:2
35:10,14,25
38:14,17 39:7
42:6 63:7
76:18 78:16
80:11 81:17,22
disagree 70:7
disclosure 82:9
discrepancies
13:18
discretion 65:19
discriminated
86:10
discuss 78:20,22
79:8,19,20
82:11 84:5
85:21
discussed 27:5
78:24,25
discussing 58:2
discussion 37:24
38:8 39:14
49:7 66:25
discussions 25:6 81:23
displaced 65:18
disqualified
28:25 31:18
82:5 83:4
85:10 87:13
disqualifiers
14:21
disqualify 29:4

82:23 87:18
District 1:15,16 1:17,19,20,21 1:23
disturbance 89:14
disturbing 89:19
Divers 7:25 8:1
diverse 31:1
33:25 41:24,24 42:4
diversity 16:15 19:10 30:9,14 30:17
division 45:11 45:14 54:21
doctor 11:23
document 49:16
49:19 56:16
57:16 64:22
67:2
documentation 56:14 57:4
documentations 12:12,13
documents 12:19
36:10,11 67:13 73:14
doing 5:23 6:15 8:18 9:5 14:8
20:22 22:3,8
22:22 30:6
31:9,12 59:1
$70: 1676: 17,24$
82:6 89:12
Don 72:12
Donald 33:21
donate 20:9
Donnell 1:24 5:6 60:11
doorway 30:25
doubt 35:19
downturn 28:18
downward 24:12
dozen 32:14
DPD 6:1,2 19:5
39:25 43:20
89:23 90:15
Dr 8:1,1
drafted 49:3
56:15 59:6
dramatic 6:12
drastically 76:3
dress 20:4,7
DRH 77:17
drill 6:9
drive 38:7
driving 26:13
28:2 37:25 38:6,10 79:25
80:22 81:1
83:23 84:15
87:15
drop 25:21
drug 26:9,13,14
27:2 28:1,1 38:9
due 35:20, 21 37:2
dynamics 16:19 18:23

E
E1:16,17 4:14
e-mail 82:1
earlier 8:22
17:16 82:2
88:16
early 13:19 38:1
easier 77:24,25
78:5,11
echo 51:13
economics 74:13
ecumenical 19:24
Edgar 1:20 5:4 60:9
educate 16:11 17:9
education 32:2
45:10,14
effect 56:13
efficiency 12:5
effort 17:4
efforts 6:9 51:16
either 28:14
62:20 77:12
elaborate 69:21
elect54:3
elected 31:25
54:22 55:3
58:4 66:19
72:18,20
election 49:2,13

```
49:25 52:1 eve 89:13
54:4,18 57:20
59:6 60:22
eve 89:13
evening 5:15
events 16:14
```

67:22

Elections 48:23 electoral 53:17 electronic 19:17 eligible 24:17

52:10 62:21,24 Ella 40:7
embracing 15:11
18:24
Emergency 77:15
77:16,16
emphasize 16:14
employed 29:15
29:19,20
employers 11:10
39:3
employment 11:10
19:25 25:2
empowered 51:1
encourage 88:23
ended 18:12 63:23
enforcement
14:23 15:13
16:10,12 18:25
19:14 21:22
23:18 31:23
43:4
engage 85:25
English 67:3
enhancing 19:16
enjoy 47:22
ensure 41:15
enter 11: 2
entered 9:13 15:2
entertain 72:5 90:22
entire 54:11 55:4 75:13
envision 85:2,3
especially 79:2
79:6,24 80:1
ethnicity 80:2 80:13
Eva 1:18 4:18
59:21 65:21
evaluation 12:25 85:18

17:1,1,2 19:11
20:20
eventually 35:6
everybody 28:5
33:13
evidence 84:17
exact 67:10
exactly 67:6,11
exam 37:5
example 88:21
examples 82:4
83:10
exams 10:8
excellent 15:9
15:19 30:11
53:12 55:16
exception 88:23
excited 32:7
excluded 81:2
82:8,13 87:1
excuse 45:2
62:23 68:17
Executive 1:25 63:7
exercising 64:22
exists 29:1
exit 90: 6
expanded 19: 4
21:24
expanding 19:17
19:25 32:7
expedite 10:5
12:25 13:11
14:3 19:15
experience 16:22
18:7 21:18
22:1 75:6 77:6
77:9 84:13
experiencing 6:3
6:12
experiment $26: 17$
26:21
expiration 64:1
64:1,9 65:4
expire 37:4
expired 68:2
expires 65:3
92:20
explicit 61:19

Explorers 19:19 express 84:18 extraordinarily 67:4

## F

facility 77:13
fact 26:19 38:5
68:5 78:25
factors 83:8
faded 35:7
fail 28:15 73:9
80:9
fall 32:9,9
false 18:3
familiar 39:19 54:9
far 9:18 13:22
13:24 16:17
47:10 57:8
64:19 88:6,10
Farmer 88:3,5,5
89:3,4
fast 13:5
father 86:15
favor 7:14 8:9
43:11 44:11
47:25 58:20
61:1 91:2
FBI 27:8
feasibility 73:7 73:19 74:2,24
February 43:21
feedback 40:24
feeder 32:22
feel 13:22 15:23
17:24 53:15 87:1
feeling 18:20
fell 31:12
fellow 61:10
female 39:25
40:7,10,16
females 19:14 40:12
fidelity 43:4
field 14:16
16:11 22:16
fight 38:3 73:24
file 10:21 15:12
filed 33:21
files 9:19, 20

32:20
fill 10:6
finalize 13:24
finalizing 13:15
financially 28:19
find 20:6 21:21 23:19,23 25:21 25:25 37:3 42:2
Finessee 5:25
finish 17:25
75:18
fire 11:25
first 18:18
24:25 32:3
39:21,25 40:7
40:9,10,10,11 40:11,16 41:23
47:4,4 62:11
62:14 80:18
81:16
firsts 40:6
fiscal 24:16,16 24:18
fit 16:18
five 17:21,21,22
19:2 61:20
63:21 65:3
66:4,14,14
67:11 83:1
87:15
five-year 61:19
62:1
flat 86:9 87:13
Flippo 5:20 15:8 16:4
floor 21:1 56:20 56:22,23
focus 20:2 54:25
focused 19:5
focusing 19:12 19:21 29:21
follow 29:25
following 24 :18 58: 6
foolish 69:24
force 19:20
75:21 81:9
Ford 13:2
foregoing 92:8
forget 73:10

form 64:21
format 51:24
forth 14:10
52:16 55:13
56:14 92:10
forum 19:13
30:23 52:4 53:4 54:5 56:3
forward 11:13 18:5 37:24 44:20 51:19 57:1 63:1 89:16 90:1
Foster 1:13 7:5 92:17
found 14:5 15:20 24:14 27:8 35:18 77:21
Foundation 88:17 88:25
four 18:10,11
31:7 32:13
68:3
free 37:9
Freedom 79:16
frequently 12:24
friend 73:3 76:7
front 7:2,4
11:16,22,23
25:13,18 54:14
full 15:12 92:12
full-blown 32:10
fumble 80:7
function 54:16 63:18
Funeral 45:19
funneled 16:23
funny 18:18
future 14:18,20
24:8,14
G
Gail 5:18 $8: 24$ 24:4
Gardner 5:22
6:19 8:17,19 8:22 9:15 15:4
20:17 21:3,13
21:14 22:9
23:10 25:19
26:8,11 27:1 27:16 28:3
$32: 18$ 33:8,12
33:17 34:10
36:8,17,21,25
37:8,19 38:20
$38: 23$ 39:1,4
42:11 81:17
Garza 1:18 4:18 59:21
gather 3:17
51:17
gathered 85:7
Gayle 44:17, 23
45:3,4 46:5,8
gender 80:3
general 28:23
84:22
generally 28:9
28:12 83:8
84:11
generation 14:4
George 1:25 4:11
getting 9:24
10:13 13:6
14:18 17:5
18:12 20:8
30:10,11,15
36:12 37:17
58:1 82:20
gift $24: 2$
gig 78:5,11
give 3:23 10:7
11:11 17:23
18:3 20:21
21:17,25 22:1
24:2 25:4
27:12 28:5
29:10 30:20
48:13,15 59:2
69:11 70:13,14
70:23 72:6
84:22 87:21
given 76:12
81:19
gives 12:2 21:19
giving 29:6
50:11 71:3
glad 18:21 22:9
go 10:12 11:7,16
11:20,22,23
13:19 15:15
16:4 17:11
18:1 20:19

21:18,25 22:7
23:6 26:21
$31: 2134: 12$
35:2 36:11
37:12,16,16
55:13 57:1
64:19 73:18
77:13 78:6
$79: 280: 17$
82:10,16 84:6
87:4 88:11
goal 51:1 54:25
goals 17:13
29:24
God 3:15,23,24
3:25
going 3:12,13
6:21 9:3,3,4,7
11:1 12:10,11
13:7,15 16:13
16:17 19:21
20:25 23:2,22
23:22 26:20
30:22 32:9
35:18 37:1
39:10 42:17
43:15 44:15,20
48:19,21 50:1
50:4 51:2
52:14 54:3
55:22 61:25
64:13 68:11
70:12 71:21
72:9 77:24,25
78:11 79:8,13
80:19,22 82:15
good 3:6,75:15
8:19,21 31:12
32:21 33:18
47:10 66:12,13
70:18 72:11
73:3 81:18
Gracious 3:15
grade 14:22
graduate 34:4
graduated 12:2
31:25
graduating 45:6
graduations 30:8
Grand 73:15 78:6
gray 64:4
great 29:23 31:3
$33: 1663: 6,6$ 77:1
greatest $34: 19$
Greektown 41:7
Grosse 90:11
group 19:23
26:20 31:1 42:4
groups 17:3 19:19,20
growing 32:21
guess 26:6
gunshots 78:7

## H

Hall 2:2 5:13,15 6:22 8:17 39:16, 20, 22 $40: 2,6,9,20$ 41:2,5,20 73:4 73:5 74:1,7,17 74:20,22 75:4 75:8 76:1,15 80:20
Hallelu 74:20, 22 hand 77:18 81:22 90:2
handle 28:11
hands-on 21:17
Hanson 7:6
happen 49:24
50:1 87:16
happened 31:16 49:12,25 66:7
happening 81:3 89:16
happy 53:18
hard 81: 4
havoc 13:23
head 86:1
Headquarters 71:25
health 47:10
hear 70:19 88:7
heard 22:11
52:19,21 53:13 57:16 73:1,14
hearing 80:23
heart 86:14
hearts 3:18 4:1
held 86:3
help 10:4 14:6

23:2 49:12
88:13
helped 13:10 86:12
helpful 56:10
helping 18:24
Henry 13: 2
hereinbefore 92:9
hey 10:18
hidden 54:18
high 14:15,15
19:18
higher 6: 6
highest 44:4
46:7
highlight 38:9
highlights 53:8
53:13 55:17
hire 12:8 13:4
23:7 32:15
hired 11:24 18:12 25:16 31:7 32:13 41:23 80:6,7 86:15
hires 20:2
hiring 17:6 20:22,22 24:20
historic 40:18
historically 34:17,17 35:2
history 11:10 39:24 50:15,16 $54: 956: 664: 9$ 81:7,10 86:22
hit14:21
hitting 19:12
hold 37:20 46:19
Hollowell 67:17
home 11:8 13:12 13:16,19
homicides 6:5,13
honestly 25:23
honorable 72:11
honoring 42:21 44:23 45:3
hope 18:3 29:7
30:21 49:23 50:19 55:6
56:1 73:21 85:14 89:24
hopefully 18:8
20:8 23:24
hoping 10:12
32:10,22 38:8 49:11 85:11
host 37:5
hosting 10:8
19:1
hot 16:16
house 13:21
Human 9:16,17 24: 4
—
I-94 72:3
idea 70:13
ideas 75:10
immediate 3:11
impact 89:23
impacting 89:17
implement 52:15
implementing
19:10
imply 67:7
implying 55:15
important 79:4
82:18
importantly 52:8
impression 69:14
improved 10:13
12:5
improving 14:2
30:14,18
in-house 36:16
inaudible 47:17
incarcerated 89:18
incident 83:3
incidentals 36:9
incidents 81:25
include 81:25 86:2
including 27:7
increase 3:24 19:10,24 32:11
increased 13:12
increasing 32:7
indicate 7:24
57:16
indicated 29:24
54:21 68:14
indicates 65:4
individual 13:25
36:14 54:19
55:8
individuals 9:10 17:7 21:17,25 31:16 37:12 51:16 52:12 85:6
inference 83:5 87:17
info 18:17
infor 41:5
information
13:18 14:8,9 16:23 25:4 29:6,16 34:14 35:15,22 37:3 41:6 48:10 63:1,15 68:10 $73: 15$ 79:10,16 80:12,14 84:8 85:7
initiated 10:17
Initiative 89:1
initiatives 9:5 19:7
insight 30:20
inspired 20:23
instance 20:20 29:10 36:14 68:7 89:18
instances 37:1 87:10,12,19
integrated 86:7
integrity 9:11 44:3 45:25
intent 50:13 51:18 90:3
intention 50:22
intentions 49:18
interact 39:17
interacting 19:21
interaction 56:4 56:9
interest 9:23 10:6 14:3 15:6 15:25 19:3
interested 14:22 15:20 32:6 51:25
interesting

67:25
interests 73:23
interface 79:12
interim 72:12
intern 19:5 20:1
21:16,24
internally 88:10
Internet 14:9
interns 15:11 17:19 18:13 19:2 20:2 31:6 32: 4, 8
internship 15:8 15:23 17:16,17 18:2,8,19 19:3 21:16
internships 18:22
interpretation 67:2,15 69:10
Interruption 74:16
interview 81:8 90:6
interviews 11:7 13:13
introduce 5:12 5:16 6:21
introduced 4:12
introduction 7:7
invaluable 22:1
invest14:19
24:3
investigation 31:21
investigator 7:2 11: 6
investigators 11:6 13:14
invocation 3:14
involved 22:16
54:10 88:25
irrespective 52:19
issue 23:16,17
26:15 30:15
33:21 34:3,17
35:3 38:2,9
39:12 40:24
41:14 55:6,9
56:11 60:20
62:15,17 65:14

68:9 69:17
70:3,16,17
80:1 85:25
90:11
issues 14:13
16:8,10 26:10
26:10 29:1
$35: 938: 3$ 41:6
41:8 53:14
80:19 85:21
86:7,13 89:17
89:22
item 52:9
items 27:24,25
81:23
ITT 16:3

| $J$ |
| :--- |

Jack 5:25
Jackson 39:17
Jared 86:19
Jessica 68:8
job 14:16 15:9 38:11,12,19
53:12 54:1
55:16 77:1,24
77:25
Johnson 72:9,12
72:22 73:1,6 $74: 6,18 \quad 75: 3,7$
75:14 76:2,6
77:2
joined 75:17
joining 21:8
Judge 85:1
July 1:12 3:2 7:20 68:2 69:2 71:24
June 64:2
juniors 32:1
justice 16: 6
37:14
Justin 43:16,19 43:20 44:1,6

## K

keep 74:1 75:5
keeping 13:21
Keith 5:25
kidding 47:14
kind 28:10 29:7 30:24 35:6
$\begin{array}{ll}47: 9 & 52: 5 \\ 76: 10 & 88: 8\end{array}$
kinds 74:9 84:14
know 6:3 10:9
14:17,18 16:9
20:10 21:22
23:13 24:1,22
25:17 26:20
27:11 28:5
31:14 34:5,7
$34: 10,20,21,22$
34:25 36:1,14
38:2,5,6,10
39:13,23 40:4
40:15 41:7,13
42:3,5 47:13
47:14 49:18
51:25 53:4
$54: 15$ 56:5,6,6
56:8 58:1
61:10,14,18
63:22 64:14
65:13 66:5,6
66:19 67:17
69:3 70:4,4,13
70:14 72:17
$74: 6,9$ 75:7,8
75:15,17,19,22
$76: 2,3,7,14,14$
76:23 77:21
78:6,18,20
79:7,13,14
80:5,6,8,20
81:3,3,6,7,10
82:16 84:3
86:1, 8, 11,19
86:22 87:1
89:12,16 90:5
90:6,7,8,10,11
knowing 23:19
knowledge 11:12
22:19
knows 65:21 68:2 82: 6

## L

lacking 55:23
language 67:3,5 large 39:6,7
largest 73:12
late 4:15
Latino 81:11

Latinos 80:6
law 14:23 15:13
16:10,11 18:25
19:14 21:21
23:18 31:23
43:4 64:7
67:15,20 75:24
76:2 77:19
lawn 13:21
leadership 49:15 50:4 51:6
League 45:18
learned 47:6
leave 22:7 24:22
24:23 34:8,12
35:2,24 38:7 69:14
leaving 77:23,24 90:7
led 88:20
left 76:12
legal 64:6 67:2 76:9 81:14
legally 35:8
legislature 82:12
let's 26:17 46:17 58:18 80:9 87:20
letter 56:15 62:18 63:16 64:18 65:4,15 68:2,6,18 69:2
letters 45:21
letting 49:17
level 13:24
license 80:24
lieutenant 5:22 5:25 6:18 8:19 8:22 9:15 15:4 20:17 21:2,13 21:14 22:9 23:10 25:19 26:8,11 27:1 27:16 28:3 29:18 32:18 33:8,12,17 34:10 36:4,8 36:17,21,25 37:8,19,23 38:20,23 39:1 39:4 42:10,11

```
    81:17 87:6
life 26:18 28:7
    89:20
lifelong 46:4
lightly 20:10
limit 72:7
Linda 7:2 62:9
line 52:9 90:5
Lisa 1:15 3:9
    60:14 68:19
listen 66:24
literally 22:6
little 6:5 10:10
    24:24 30:20
    33:13 56:2
    89:25
live 53:24 90:9
lives 90:4
lobby 54:19
lock 52:14,20
logic 38:13
long 29:4 41:14
    41:25 47:2
    72:10 82:7
longer 29:1 87:5
look 10:10 12:13
    13:16 14:24
    20:23 26:19,23
    28:1 32:20
    38:11 39:7
    40:13,15 42:6
    63:21 67:3,6
    67:12 69:23
    72:16 87:20
    88:24 90:11
looked 64:16
looking 6:5 7:19
    13:17,20 17:6
    17:10 20:8
    22:10,20,23
    24:7 25:5
    30:23 34:25
    35:11 37:23
    39:13 41:10
    68:18 69:10
    \(74: 14,25\) 75:12
    76:19,24 83:5
looks 9:16 75:6
lose 25:2 26:3
    35:18, 20
losers 53:19
losing 76:22
```

```
89:20
lost 25:22,23
lot 10:14 12:21
    13:9 14:8
    16:23 23:5
    26:12 27:23
    37:14 38:7
    39:10 47:3
    52:3 72:18
    73:13 76:4,11
    78:19 89:22
loud 13:22
love 87:9
low 83:4 87:18
loyalty 45:24
lulls 6:3,12
```

M
M1:13 92:17
M-hum 27:2 28:3
64:3 65:8,22
ma'am 6:25 7:7
33:3 34:16
35:13 57:2
61:17 62:3
63: 4
Madam 4:10 5:10
7:11,21,24
21:11 25:11
27:19 37:22
41:21 43:7
44:22 48:25
49:5,8 51:12
52:22 53:1
56:18 57:5,13
58:8,25 60:16
61:9 63:13,24
65:1,5 66:24
68:17 69:8,16
$70: 20 \quad 74: 21$
77:3 81:20
83:13 85:20
89:9
mailing 14:6
main 47:12
maintain 14:3
major 45:18 80:9
make-up 33:11
73:16
making 12:24
16:18 19:11
65:14 66:20

76:9
Mallett 1:22 3:4 4:22 9:13 21:6 46:13,17 59:9 59:25 60:1 66:24 67:19 68:17,21,25 69:8,13 70:7 $70: 10,19$ 71:10 71:15,19 72:24 $77: 3,5,978: 2$
78:4 83:13,22
84:20 85:15
$\operatorname{man} 40: 25$
mandate 55:2
manpower 74:25
manual 19:7
marching 87:1
market 38:19
marketable 76:25
Mary 39:17
mass 13: 4
matter 82:13
matters 78:20 82:8,12 85:23
Mayor 25: 6 35:4 47:14 56:14 58:3 63:16 64:6,13,17,22 70:8 73:11 76:18 78:10 86:19
Mayor's 62:12
68: 6
Mayors 66:5
McKinnon 35:3
MCOLES 9:21 10:1 10:16,20 36:17 37:13,17 76:4 82:17 83:12
mean 7:18 8:16
22:6 25:14
26:21 31:10,14
32:21 34:20
57:23 64:20
65:12 70:16
79:12 80:1,3,7
81:11 84:17
meaning 13:5
means 9:22 11:1
11:5 67:11 69:19
meant 23:4 67:5
meat 11:2
medical 12:25
13:1,3,11 77:7
77:10
meet 28:14
meeting 1:6 3:9 3:13 30:19 35:15 50:23 52:2 54:4 55:19 71:23 72:1 85:21 88:7 89:13 91:5
meetings 17:1,2 17:11 53:9 66:8 78:18 79:15 80:24 82:9
meets 85:20
Melissa 6:19 8:17
members 5:17 52:10,10 84:2
mention 86:18 88:22 89:11
mentioned 31:5 37:25 61:11 81:6,20 82:21 87:11 88:16 90:12
mentor 22:11,12 22:12
mentoring 22:12
merit 44:4 45:17 46:7
merits 55:13
message 17:5
met 13:1
Metropolitan 45:6
Michigan 1: 4, 10 3:1 92:3
million 74:5
mind 17:14 55:11
74:2 75:5
minds 3:18 4:1
mindset 23:20
mini 17:20
minor 7:23,25 89:19
minute 70:14,15
minutes 7:19 8:5 49:23 50:20 $53: 3,4,9,13$ 55:19 64:16,17 72:7
mirror 30:1 57:19
mirroring 16:21 30:12
mirrors 54:16
mission 9:8,9
17:12 23:14
Missionary 72:2
mistaken 40:2
mix 33:18
mode 46:25
moment 59:2
moments 5:16
Monday 32:17 80:23
money 78:2
Monroe 41:9
month 78:7
months 14:7 34:9 34:9,12 85:3
Moore 1:19 4:23 4:24 7:22 44:9 44:16, 20, 22 45:2 46:15,19 48:2 60:3,4,25 90:25
morning 88:17
Moross 72:3
motion 7:9,19 8:4 10:22 47:24,25 57:7 57:9,11 58:19 59:4,8,13,14 60:16,20 90:22
motivated 10:10
Motives 51:7
motorized 41:2,3
Mount 72:2
move 7:9,18 8:15 18:5 22:21 42:16 43:7 44:8 46:12 48:21,25 53:19 54:3,7,1758:8 71:21 89:15 90:1
moved 7:11,13,21

```
8:7 43:9 48:2
```

90:24 91:1
movies 21:22
moving 84:1
mowing 13:21
multi 79:9
Multiple 47:17

## N

NAACP 63: 8
name 3:9 4:4
7:25 61:24
62:7 68:23
72:7,11 77:14
nation 27:7
28:18,23
Nations 33:13
nature 12:14
20:11 53:14 55:14 85:22
Nay 60:13,15
necessarily 34:13 87:14
necessary 51:9
neck 73:12,12
need 14:13, 17
16:7,10 22:21 28:10 30:15 $38: 654: 756: 2$ 56:2,8,9,25 57:3 61:18 63:18 64:20
66:21 79:22
80:8 82:2
90:14
needed 9:11 12:20
neighbors 13:22
never 29:17
47:13,14 49:24
50:14 56:5
Nevertheless 53:17
new 41:23 42:16 52:5 58:3 66:3 68:15 88:21
nice 20:18
NOBLE 16:14,15 22:11
nonfatal 6:6 nonpaid 55:24
nontransparent

82:14
Notary 92:19
note 90:1
noted 8:5 71:7
notice 10:18
56:13
noticed 32:5
notification
62:12
notion 24:1
November 62:20
62:25 65:2
66:11 68:22
82:25
number 24:18
30:24 31:4
32:6 33:3,5
35:20 39:6,7
50:19,21 73:13
73:25
numbers 32:11
34:2,11 74:15 75:1
numerous 45:21
nurses 77:10,11 77:22
$\frac{0}{0}$

03:23,24,25
Oakland 37:15
oath 92:9
observation
83:17
obtain 20:5
occur 63:11
occurred 47:3 67:8
offended 53:15
87:17
offenses 84:15
offensive 83:7
offer 50:4 51:4 51:5
offering 49:15
51: 6
offhand 83:2
office 6:17
56:14 62:12
72:23
officer 8:24
9:12 14:23
22:15,16 23:6

```
    40:17 42:18,21
    43:1,3 45:9,12
officers 12:3
    13:14 18:23
    24:17 25:2,14
    35:1,7 38:6
    41:11 47:7
    48:24 49:2
    57:20 59:7
    60:22 75:2
    76:22 81:9
    86:14 90:4,9
    90:13,15
official 56:13
    56:15 62:12
oh 10:11 31:19
    41:2 46:24
    75:7
OIC 5:22
okay 6:22 11:3
    12:3 15:12,25
    18:12 20:12
    26:8,20 28:13
    29:20 31:19
    32:19 33:15,24
    33:24 36:13
    37:6,10 38:16
    38:18,22 40:5
    40:22 41:18
    44:19 57:11
    73:1 74:19,23
    75:3,14 76:6
    85:15
old 14:5 22:4
    48:21,23 61:6
    61:8 85:25
    86:8
omitted 82:1
once 11:19 13:6
    25:19 47:13
    61:20 86:14
one's 61:20
one-and-a-half
        6:7
one-year 58:1,2
ones 26:12
ongoing 68:9
online 19:8
open 20:25 52:2
    82:9 85:21
    88:20
operate 64:15
```

75:12
operating 66:3
operations 13:10
opinion 67:20,22 68:13,14 69:25 84:16
opportunities 14:14 19:25
opportunity 3:16 20:24 29:10 39:16 51:22 52: 6, 11, 15, 18 55:19
opposed 7:16 8:11 21:20 43:13 44:13 48:4 58:22 61:3 91:4
option 30:16
oral 11:15,18,19 11:23 13:6 72:5 88:2 89:6
order 3:13 14:3 56:19,21,24 57:2 75:12
orders 63:18 ordinance-type 13:20
Org 9:15
organization 15:1 16:19,24 22:13,21 23:2 23:12,14,17 26:23
organizations 17:5,13 19:19 20: 4
orientation 9:25 10:25 12:18,23
original 50:13
Orleans 88:21
ought 70:24
ourself 73:17 86:22
outline 85:24
outlined 49:2
outreach 19:18
outside 22:7
overall 74:13
overview 6:2 9:4
overwhelming 35:20

```
owners 41:12
Oxendine 5:18
    8:24 20:16
    24:4,4 27:4,15
    28:8,22 29:12
    29:17 30:2,5
    30:13 31:8,17
    31:20 32:19
    33:1,6 34:2
    35:10,14,25
    38:14,17 39:7
    42:6 76:19
    78:17 80:12
    81:17 84:9
```

$\begin{array}{r}\mathrm{P} \\ \hline\end{array}$
p.m1:11 3:3
9:14 15:3 72:4
91:7
page 1:8 7:24
paid 29:17
Paige 42:19, 22
43:1,4
Pamela 7:1
Panel 11:16 85:5
paper 41:23
paperless 19:8
parking 80:23
Parks 45:19
part 16:25 30:15
30:17 31:23
participate
15:22
Participated
16:14
particular 19:13
19:23 25:24
64:5 68:1
particularly
35:1 51:23
77:11
Partnering 19:23
partnerships
20:3,12
pass 11: 20
passing 22:18
path 15:18
patrol 12:3
15:14 22:15,15
pattern 65:10
84:17
pay $36: 7,11$ 37:7
$76: 23 \quad 77: 23$
78:1,5
paying 6:15
pays 76:20
peace 3:24
pedestrian 41:10
pedestrians
41:12
people 4:1 9:1
13:4,9 14:17
14:18 18:3,21
20:6,23 21:21
22:5,13 23:12
24:22,25 25:1
25:14 26:12
27:17 29:2
$31: 5$ 32:6 34:4
35:18,20 38:5
47:22 53:18
54:5,24 70:16
72:22 73:9
74:5 76:9,12
76:25 78:19
79:12 80:5
81:2,5,6,9,10
82:23 86:9,15
86:25 87:18
88:9,10,13,23
89:17
people's 80:24
percent 6:5,7
24:11,13 90:9
Perfect 45:17
period 24:20
28:18
permanently
87:13
person 50:22
54:2 55:3,4,10
56:8,17 58:4
63:6 64:18
69:20,22,23
73:25 86:3
87:13 89:20
person's 28:1
personal 14:24
63:5 89:25
personally 68:11
85:2
personnel 5:18
82:8 85:22,23
persons 49:14

50:3 51:6 84:6
perspective

$$
21: 20
$$

Petty 41:8
phase 10:5 11:15
11:18,19 12:22 13:15 26:7,17 31:21
phenomenal 15:8
Philadelphia 74:8
phones 14:11
phonetic 5:25
photo 20:16,17 20:18 46:21
physical 10:1,20 26:2 36:6,24
pick 15:22
picking 32:21
picture 40:3
piece 89:12
pieces 67:16
piggyback 25:3
pipeline 31:13
place 9:6 50:18 65:24 70:13 75:16 92:9
placed 84:2
places 73:8 75:12
plan 4:3
plaque 70:14 71:4,10,12
plaques 70:23
Plato's 20:4
Pleasant 72:2
please 4:9 44:20 48:13 61:23 72:6 89:10
point 15:9 31:15 35:16 47:22 56:18, 21,24 57:2 67:1 71:7 71:8
Pointe 90:11
pointed 40:14 67:10
police 1:5 2:1 3:9 5:17 9:9 9:12 11:8,9 12:1 15:6 17:10 32:8

34:18,19,21
35:4,19 37:13
38:25 42:18,21
42:24,25 43:1
43:3,6,23,25
45:5,7,9 46:2
46:3,10 49:1
68:4 71:23
72:12,14,17
73:7 75:2,16 76:16 80:25
83:6,12 88:18 89:1
policies 75:11 88:21
policy $26: 15$
49:1 51:17,20
51:20 52:5,15
57:17,18 59:5
60:21,22 75:15
politicize 51:9
politicized
50:13
pool 17:19
portion 11:22,23
26:13
position 55:24 64:10 83:20 86:11
positioning 49:19
positive 23:1
possible 74:10
possibly 77:20
poster 48:7
potatoes 11:3
potentially 31:11
practice 12:11 65:10 70:17
practices 20:22
praise 3:16
precinct 6:11 34:12 40:1,17 43:22 45:7,10 47:4,5 72:2,13
precinct-spon 16:25
precincts 17:22
18:15,20
predominantly 74:11 86:25
prepare 14:25 22:22
presence 21:5 83:25
present 3:5,22 4:17,20,24 5:2 5:5,8 7:1 8:25 15:10 44:21 52:10,10 84:5 85:12
presentation 5:23 8:18 21:3 21:13 31:3 81:18 85:4,7
presentations 14:14 15:7
presented 67:5 79:15,17
president 33:22 72:12,13
prevailed 53:23
previous 66:7
previously 66:17
pride 46:5
primary 20:2
prior 26:6 66:2 70:1
privacy 84:21
private 54:4
79:19
privilege 3:17
probably 26:3
28:10 52:4
57:17 65:3
81:24
problem 28:6
30:9 42:5 69:5 79:21 80:9
problematic 6:11
procedural 52:1
procedure 48:24
49:2 52:16
59:5 60:21
75:16
procedures 75:11
proceedings 7:5
9:13 15:2 91:7
92:8,13
process 9:24
10:5 11:12,21
12:18,21,25
$13: 8,1914: 6$

```
14:18 16:18
18:1,8,11 19:8
19:15 31:24,24
32:14 37:1
39:11 50:21
53:18,23 54:13
54:15,20 69:18
69:22 79:4,9
84:24 85:18
86:2 87:14
processing 12:7
    14:4
profession 23:18
professional
```

    16:2 45:10,14
    84:16
    professionalism
44:3
profile 14:23, 24
18:5
program 15:8,21
15:23 17:17,18
18:2,14,14,19
21:16,24 22:16
23:21 32:7
programs 19:4,5
19:18,22 20:1
22:12 37:15
promote 23:1
promoted 40:16
45:13
proper 7:25
properly 57:10
proud 42:1 47:8
47:8,955:16
provide 35:14, 22
36:22,24 52:15
81:22
provided 13:18
provides 88:14
providing 36:10
proxy 54:12
psychological
11:20
public 18:16
44:2 46:5
50:11 53:3
54:5 55:10
56:3 71:25
78:21,25 79:6
79:10,20 80:23
82:3 84:2,8,22

85:13 92:19
publicly 50:5,22
84:18
punch 70:23
71:17
purpose 85:16
Pursued 14:14
pushes 49:19
pushing 13:4
put 9:6 15:14
20:18 32:16
50:18 51:17
54:13 73:21
78:8 89:25
putting 76:8
81:17 90:4

|  | Q |
| :---: | :---: |

qualified 30:16
30:25 85:6
qualify 27:17
quality 17: 6
question 7:14
22:2,10 25:12
29:8,14 34:1
36:4 37:23
39:15 43:11
49:5 58:9,15
58:16 62:11
68:16 69:14
72:16,19 73:3
75:14 80:2,4
questions 21:1,4
21:10 25:10
$27: 18,2128: 10$
$37: 21$ 42:9
52:3 78:15
quick 6:2 17:24 25:11 31:4
quicker 10:14
12:21 13:9
Quinn 7:4
quite 33:25
49:16
quorum 5:10,10

| R |
| :---: |
| R1:19,24 $4: 23$ |
| $5: 6$ |
| race $80: 5$ |
| racial $33: 11$ |
| $81: 21$ |

raise $70: 16,16$
78:15
raised 49:21
range 25:3 83:20 84:12
rank 45:13
Rapids 73:16 78:6
rating 38:10,11
ratio $25: 17,17$
reached 25:1
read 42:18 43:16
44:16 88:16
reading 36:6,15 36:15,23
reads $82: 10$
ready 7:14 11:1
11:2 32:15 43:11 88:11
real 9:4 17:24
21:23 31:4
73:17 83:10
realigned 27:10
realities 87:2
reality 70:17
realize 22:25
73:9
really 6:10 9:7
13:13 15:7,10
17:4 19:21
20:18 21:23
24:21 26:13,18
28:9 29:17
33:18 41:24
42:1 $56: 5 \quad 78: 4$
81:18 82:2
88:13,14,15
reappoint 64:8 64:13
reappointed 64:14,18
reason 24:19 50:18 63:14,15 82:11
reasons 32:3
reassigned 45:13
recall 57:25
62:18,19 87:12
receive 23:25 41:6 49:3 52:7 53:8 64:12,12
received 16:22

## 56:12 62:14 69:24

Receiving 77:12 78:9
recipient 45:17
reckless 84:3
recognition 43:2
44:1 45:19,19
45:20 47:2
recognize 46:4
recognizing 87:7
recommendation
51:19 52:5 60:23
recommending 51:21
record 4:11
26:14 28:2
38:10 61:23
62:4,8 79:25 87:15
recorded 49:24
53: 4, 5, 6
recording 7:4 53:12
records 37:25
recruit 33:4
36:5 42:3
recruited 35:21
recruiting 5:19
5:20,23 8:18 8:23 9:4,5,8,9 9:15,19 12:4 15:4 16:15,16 19:11,17 20:14 30:1 37:25 81:19
recruitment 79:2 79:5
recruitments 30:22
recruits 18:24 25:18
redesigning 12:23
redone 48:8
reduced 92:10
reducing 12:7 19:16
reempowered 51:2
refer 57:17
reference 13:12
$37: 24 \quad 39: 16$
53:14 64:13 89:17
referral 79:19
referrals 86:3
referred 60:22
referring 26:6
58:5 83:14
reflect 29:3
reflects 53:11
regain 26:18
regard 44:4

$$
49: 17,2581: 18
$$

81:23
regarding 59:6 62:13,13 80:13
regards 23:8 60:21
Reginald 1:21
4:16
regular 1: 6
12:11 37:16
rehabilitate 87:22
rehabilitated 29:11
reinstated 55:1
reinstituted 38:4
reject 25:20
rejected 25:16
related 67:22
relates 6:4
78:23 85:18
relation 72:13
relations 15:15
72:14
relied 68:14
relocating 39:11
remain 67:8
remained 45:15
remarks 53:7,16 90:17
remember 23:11 83:3
Renee 5:13
repeat $86: 22$
replaced 70:24
71:3,13
replacement
67:24
report 6:10,16

8:13,15 11:9
reported 92:7
REPORTER 92:1
Reporting 7:6
reports 11:11
42:14,15
representatives 3:21
represents 8:23
request 67:13,20
79:16
requested 62:11
68:10,13 69:6
requesting 18:22
56:15
required 12:19
12:19 20:7
requires 84:13 84:14
research 61:14 68:16
resolution 42:21
43:1,18,25
$44: 23$ 45:3
48:7
resolve 38:3 42:18 43:16 55:8
resolved 38:3 42:23 43:23 46:1
Resource 9:16
Resources 9:17 24:5
respect 28:17
respected 55:4
respectfully 49:22
respond 53:2 70:9 77:22
responsibility 29:4,5
responsible 29:2
rest 6:21
result 17:14 31:23
resulting 12:6
retain 23:19 35:7
retention 22:2,3 22:24 23:3,5 25:18 34:4
retire 69:22
retired 42:18, 21 44:16 46:25
retirement 24:17 35:21 45:15 46:25 47:23 69:18 70:14
retiring 76:23
retreat 56:10
returned 16:15
review 53:7
revisiting $38: 1$
reward 46:7
Rhonda 1:13 7:5 92:17
Ricardo 1:19 4:23 60:2
Richard 1:23 4:25 60:5
right 3:11 9:19 13:7 18:8 30:10 33:4 38:25 41:9,10 46:17 64:11 66:9 67:18 68:19 70:11,12 71:1,5 74:20 77:2 82:19,20 86:17
rightfully 78:21 82:13
rights 63:9
72:20 84:21
rise 52:17
risk 84:2
roll 4:9
rollcall 58:24
59:1,14
rolling 41:1
Ron 78:14
room 49:20
Rose 45:19
rotations 20:1
ROTC 19:18
roughly 75:1
round 32:10
ruling 64:6 67:23
run 72:23
running 4:15
33:22 73:12


59:9
Secretary $1: 25$
4:8,10,11,18
4:21,25 5:3,6
5:9 6:25 48:9
48:17 53:11
59:2,4,12,17
59:19,21,24
60:2,5,8,11,14
60:16 61:23
62:7 89:2
section 5:21
8:23 13:1
57:19,21
security 3:19
12:14,17
see 6:1 9:19
10:17,18 15:14
15:16 17:17
21:19,24 30:9
30:12 31:14
49:16 50:1,12
51:1 56:4
72:17 76:19
78:6 89:20
seeing 18:22
seek 51: 8
seen 66:16
select 9:10
selected 17:19
selection 16:17
84:23
send 10:18 11:8 14:7 22:4 55:18 65:15 89:2,3
sending 18:19
seniors 32:1
sense 49:14 65:2
sensitive 81:24
82:20
sent 12:3 68:15 82:1
sergeant 7:4
44:17,18,23
45:1,3,13,16 45:23 46:5,8 46:16,18,22,24 47:12,18 48:6 48:14,16
serious 47:9
seriously 46:25
serve 9:11 16:12 16:13 26:22
30:3 55:24
57:22 65:12
66:4 67:23
68:22 81:11
served 16:2 43:21 45:9,23 46:16 62:1 63:21 65:9,16 65:17,18,20,21 65:23 70:3 87:16
serves 57:25 58:2
service 43:2 44:2 47:19 50:11
Services 7:6
serving 16:21 56:12
session 27:5,13 28:11 29:7 78:22,24 79:9 82:10,17 85:5 85:12,17
set $52: 16$ 79:9 88:12 92:10
setting 26:1 seven 65:16 shadowing 14:16
shape 28:23
share 30:18
shared 87:11
sheet $10: 7,11$
Shelby 1:23 5:1 5:2 21:12,15 23:4 25:8 34:6 37:11 60:6,7
ship 50:8,9
shootings 6:6
short 55:18
show 23:1 69:23
showing 23:24
shows 24:23
side 15:16 55:7
sides 23:1
Signed 43:5
significant 90:8
silence 67:7
similar 18:1
73:9 77:20
simple 71: 6
simply 68:14
Sinai-Grace 77:12,16 78:7
sir 27:6,13,15 49:9 56:20 70:25 71:5 73:5 81:13 83:2 86:23 87:23
sit16:8 66:13
site 13:8
sites 36:20
situation 68:1
79:25 80:3
six $34: 9,12$ 87:16
size 74:3
skills 9:11
slightly 78:5
smaller 73:19
Smith 44:17,18 44:23 45:1,3,4 45:9,12,16,23 46:5,9,16,18 46:22,24 47:12 47:19 48:6,14 48:16
social 12:14,16 somebody 65:15 67:3 69:6,11
somewhat 18:1
55:22 88:22
sorry 46:24 62:5 80:17
sort 40:18 69:24 79:18
south 16:3 72:3
speak 55:10
speakers 47:17
speaking 28:9,12
42:24 43:24 46:2 64:16
specialized 15:15 17:22 18:16
specific 29:6 30:22 81:25 83:19,24 84:12 84:21
specifically 24:7 80:21

81:1 82:8
specifics 27:12
27:13 81:21
82:4 83:15
spectrum 75:13
spike 24:24 25:1
spirit 49:17
50:17 54:8,8
54:17 56:1
90:3
spoke 68:10
sponsored 17:1,2
SS 92:4
ST 92:5
staff 2:2 6:21
7:1 8:25 53:11
55:17
stage 24:22
Stair 40:10
stand 17:12
32:19 51:3
55:12
standard 27:8,10
28:14,15 29:3
44:3 53:6
83:16,25 84:4
84:18 85:8
standards 26:25
27:11 83:15
standing 5:13
42:13,15 66:12
stands 70:5
Star 45:18
start 9:3,7
17:25 23:20
started 18:18 25:24
starting 56:17
state 1:4 34:25
62:7 92:3
stated 8:22
47:21 50:5
statement 9:8 53:10 57:21 85:13
statements 55:14
states 33:23
50:22 66:4
stating 53:2
stay 22: 6, 14, 18 24:3 74:10 76:9 77:1

```
staying 23:12
stenographically
    92:8
```

step 44:19
stop 3:25 4:2,2
76:13 89:18,19
story 78:8 88:14
strategies 12:4
street 1:9 6:15
41:1,9,16
streets 41:14
stress 77:25
81:8 89:12
struggled 85:2
studies 73:7,19
74:2,24 75:4
subcommittee
60:23
subject 67:2
69:10
submit 10:3
subsequent $35: 15$
subsequently
35:22
substitute 60:20
suburban 23:5
success 15:24
20:4 44:5
successful 15:21
successor 68:23
suggest 56:3
suggesting 79:8
suitable 82:3
suited 27:5
suits 20:10
summer 18:14
32:10
Sunlight 88:17
88:25
Super 45:20
superiors 45:22
supersede 65:3
supervision
92:11
support 7:12,22
17:4,12 44:9
44:10 46:13
supported 7:14
91:1
supporting 90:15
supposed 61:21
sure 11:9 13:13

```
16:18 18:6
19:11 22:17
27:14 30:11
42:4 49:13
52:18 63:9
70:24 73:22
74:14 81:5
```

89:3
suspended 80:24
sympathy 83:17
sync 27:9
synopsis 17:24
system 14:6 19:9
84:10

## T

table 50:24
take 5:16 21:4
27:22 40:15
55:7 71:19
72:9 74:2,8
85:6 87:20
taken 1:9 28:24
46:21 52:7
84:11
talk 16:6 22:23
27:12 55:7
56:2 68:11
81:1 82:3,15
82:17 83:22
talked 17:16 90:10
talking 9:7
30:20 34:6
38:24 39:2
41:25 56:16
70:10 85:11
talks 57:20
tampered 55:15
tapping 19:23
target 14:17
16:10
targeted 19:11
30:21
Taylor 68:3,8 69:19 70:2 89:6
Taylor's 68:3
team 16:19
technology 14:5
tell 23:6 24:9
79:13

```
telling 75:22
tells 69:6 88:14
ten 6:5 25:1
term 58:1,2
    61:20 62:1
    63:23 68:2
terms 8:2 24:14
    25:4,6 27:23
    29:3 30:9 31:4
    32:11 34:4
    39:12,13 40:16
    53:2,12,22
    54:14 55:17,23
    56:8 57:22
    61:12,15,19
    78:16,24 79:2
    79:4,5,24
    90:14
test 10:1 36:6,7
testimony 92:9
testing 26:9
thank 3:15 4:6
    4:10 5:11 6:24
    6:25 7:8 8:3
    20:17 21:2,7
    21:13,14 25:8
    25:9 27:15
    32:24,25 33:1
    36:1 37:10
    40:22 41:18
    42:7,8,10,11
    42:12 44:22
    46:8,18 47:1
    47:13,15,15,18
    47:19 51:10,12
    52:22,23 57:5
    59:12 60:18
    61:5 66:23
    69:12 71:9
    72:7 73:2 77:2
    78:13 81:13,16
    82:15 83:12
    87:7,23,24,25
    89:4,5 90:17
    90:18 91:6
thing 10:15
    30:24 47:12
    50:6,9 67:25
    69:6 74:1 77:6
    77:10 79:24
    80:22 81:5
things 6:10
```

12:14 13:20
14:17 16:7
18:2,4 20:6,10 22:10,24 23:11 30:19 47:3,6
51:2 74:13
76:8,20 79:1
79:14 80:10
81:1,3 82:14
83:9 84:11
think 4:14 6:1 17:14 25:12
26:5,12 27:4
28:9 29:23
30:9 32:15
35:8 39:23,24
40:14 47:3
49:11 50:3,7
$50: 25$ 51:17,20
52:11 53:10,17
54:7 55:16
56:8,25 57:23
62:14,19 63:6
64:19,21 65:2
65:16 67:12
68:19 70:22
73:17 74:1
76:9,15 79:18
79:22 80:11
81:25 83:14,19
89:15 90:14
Third 1:9
thorough 12:6
thought 33:18 40:17 67:15
thoughtfully 49:21
three 12:1 14:7
19:4 20:1
25:23 64:24
70:3 75:21
$78: 783: 25$
84:15
thumbs 85:9,9
Thursday 1:12
3:2 7:20 71:24
tickets 80:23
tier 50:9,10
ties 20:9
time 3:12 4:8
5:12 6:18 7:9
$7: 18 \quad 8: 13 \quad 12: 8$

```
12:22 13:3
18:11 19:16
20:25 21:9
24:20 27:23
28:6 37:3,3
41:25 42:17
43:15 44:15
47:2,24 48:21
49:4 50:16
64:5 66:11
72:5 73:24
75:23 79:12
82:7,10 84:22
90:22 92:9
```

times 10:20
today 5:23 16:8
55:20
tools 88:12
top 50:24
topic 16:16
total 12: 2
totally 84:25
85:1
trace 50:15
tracking 12: 6
19:8 22:20
tracks 22:13
traffic 41:15
89:18,19
trailblazers
86:21
trained 22:5,6
training 22:16
22:18 23:6,7
23:24 45:11,14
75:18 76:10,11
transcript 7:6
transcription
92:11,13
transition 18:7
transparency
49:14,17 61:11
61:12 79:4
transparent
80:10 88:20
transport 14:9
travel 41:14
52:13
traveling 34:20
treated 23:16
treating 23:15
trend 24:12
tried 35:7,7
trips 14:16
trouble 47:9
true 92:12
Trump 33:21
try 8:1 10:4
31:10,13 54:13
55:24 74:9
86:2,12
trying 24:21
64:11 90:3
turnout 15:19
TV 21:22
Twenty-four 33:6 33:7
Twenty-seven 47:2
Twenty-six 33:10
two 11:25 12:16
14:7 22:6
24:10,18 25:22
26:3 32:18,19
34:13 50:21
65:20,23 66:21
$72: 7$ 75:21
$78: 784: 15$
86:4 87:21
two-day 17:20,20
two-tier 79:9
two-year 35:8
twofold 11:21
tying 70:6
type 35:5 53:3
56:4,7,9,9
57:3 74:12
types 22:24 83:9

## U

underrepresented 19:20
understand 16:19
16:20 18:24
28:17 38:12
58:6 73:2 85:1
88:13
understanding
14:20 15:13
84:23
understands
83:11
unduly 50:12
unemployed 29:15
unfortunately
23:21 34:11
unit 9:10 12:10 20:18
United 33:13,23
units 15:15
17:22 18:16,22
unity 54:8,17
56:2
universities 15:6 36:20
University 16:3
update 88:4
updates 88:6
upfront 36:5
51:7
urgency 65:2
use 19:16 23:21
28:1 73:14
74:3 84:23
85:8
usually 76:23

| V | 53:22 54:12,20 |
| :---: | :---: |
| valuable 46:6 | 58:18,25 59:14 |
| Vann 1:20 3:14 | voting 52:9 63:9 |
| 3:15 4:7 5:4,5 |  |
| 7:21 21:11 | W |
| 25:11 26:5,9 | wait 31:25 48:17 |
| 26:24 27:3,14 | 70:15 89:21 |
| 49:5,8,10 | waiting 9:21 |
| 51:14 58:25 | 10:2,15 88:7 |
| 60:9,10 65:5,7 | walk 24:2 |
| 65:9,23 66:9 | walked 45:1 |
| 67:6 69:3 | wall 39:18,20 |
| 70:20,22 71:2 | 40:3,3,12,21 |
| 71:6,12 74:21 | want 5:15 6:10 |
| 82:1 | 14:19 15:12 |
| variety 32:3 | 16:11 17:23 |
| 67:12 | 18:3,6 19:7,10 |
| various 52:12 | 20:23 22:13,15 |
| 67:16 | 22:17 23:11,12 |
| vehicle 41:4 | 23:12,15,19 |
| vehicles 41:17 | 24:3 27:22 |
| vehicular 41:15 | 31:23 33:12 |
| verbal 63:18 | 34:18 35:16,23 |
| 64:12 75:20 | 47:1 48:14,16 |
| verbally 69:23 | 49:10,13 50:1 |
| verbatim 53:5 | 50:12 52:17 |
| versus 54:4 56:3 | $53: 754: 1,13$ |
| vet 52:5 | 57:8 61:16 |
| veterans 19:19 | 63:9 64:7 |

29:21
viable 14:25
16:23 18:6
22:19 26:19
viably 34:14
Vice 1:16 3:11
82:15 87:3
video 20:14,15
20:19,20
violating 84:21
violation 61:16
63:10,11
violations 84:1
violence 3:25
vis-a-vis 25:15
visible 17:8
visits 13:12
visualization
88:12
visualize 88:10
voice 52:18, 21 58:25
vote 52:7,11
53:22 54:12,20
58:18,25 59:14
voting 52:9 63:9
wait $31: 25$ 48:17
70:15 89:21
waiting 9:21
10:2,15 88:7
walk
wal1 39:18,20
want 5:15 6:10
14:19 15:12
16:11 17:23
18:3,6 19:7,10
20:23 22:13,15
22:17 23:11,12
23:12,15,19
:3 27:22
31.23 33.12

47:1 48:14,16
49:10,13 50:1
50:12 52:17
53:7 54:1,13
57:8 61:16
63:9 64:7

69:13,17 70:8
72:22 77:1,14
78:15 80:5,6
81:3,6,16
82:19 83:19,22
86:11 89:10
wanted $32: 1$
54:24 57:15
Washington $80: 19$
81:14,14 83:2
83:21 84:19,25
85:16,23 86:5
86:17,23 87:3
87:7,10 88:1
wasn't 18:20
47:4 50:14
watching 21:22
way 10:9 23:9,15
27:9 51:3,4
65:13 66:25
76:13 78:8
82:25 84:2,18
88:11
ways 10:4 12:9
23:19
We'll 42:6
week 10:11 11:24
12:15 25:23
41:2 69:22
week's 41:23
weekly 3:8
weeks 12: 8, 8, 16 14:7 17:21,21 17:22 22:6 64:24 70:3
weigh 51:22
weighing 89:23
weight $28: 4,12$
weighted 27:25
28:15
weighting 84:10
welcome 3:8
41:20
welcoming 18:20
went 17:20 47:4 69:21 76:12
89:19
West 78:11
wheelchair 40:25
41:1
white 1:24 5:7,8
7:12 42:18,20

51:11,12 52:24
55:12 56:18,21
56:24 57:2,5
57:16 58:16
60:12,13,19
61:13 62:13,19
63:5 68:1,22
70:11 71:11
81:11 82:25
whitewash 50:20
whitewashed
49:22 53:3
Williams 5:25
Willie 1: 16, 17 3:11 4:13,14 59:15 81:7
Willis 86:19
Willis' 39:18
winners 53:18
winter 18:13
32:9
wish 21:24
wishes 44:5
witness 54:6
witnessed 53:21 53:23
women 29:22
women's 19:13,19 30:23
wonderful 76:11
wondering 42:2 76:6
Woodbeck 43:17 43:19,20 44:7
Woodbeck's 44:1
word 53:5 61:11
words 47:19
work 3:20 6:14 34:22,23 48:19 54:15,20 77:19 81:4 83:6
worked 77:15
working 9:1
20:15 41:11,11
52:13 55:17
66:10 76:18
88:9 90:15
workouts 26:2
workshops 12:23
12:24 14:16
world 21:23
55:12
worse 28:23
worth 35:17
wouldn't $34: 13$ 69:21
writing 36:7,15 36:16,23 63:19 66:16 69:7
written 10:1 11:21 56:13 64:22 68:6 69:2,25 75:20
wrong 40:15 wrote 68:19 88:18

| $\mathbf{X}$ |
| ---: |
| $\mathbf{X} 8: 14$ |
| $\mathbf{Y}$ |

Y 84:14
yeah 26:11 31:1 31:11 33:17 38:23 41:3 59:3 70:10,11
71:8 73:13
78:3 87:3,6
year 6:8 9:6
12:1 17:18
18:10,13 19:4
19:4 20:1
24:16,16 32:10
34:9,13 49:12
54:4,15 55:1
62:21 77:12
86:4 87:20
year's 35:17
years 24:10,18
25:1 34:13
43:2 44:1
45:25 46:16
47:2 53:22
61:20 63:8,21
65:3,16,20,24
66:5,14,14
67:11 68:4
$72: 15$ 73:6,13
75:21 76:11
79:3 83:1 86:4
87:16,21
York 41:23
young 38:5 40:25
73:10 81:2


