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Detroit, Michigan
Thursday, March 21, 2013
About 3:10 p.m.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen. Welcome to the Board of Police Commissioners meeting. My name is Jerome Warfield, Chairman of the Board of Police Commissioners. Serving with us today is the vice chairperson, Commissioner Jessica Taylor, as well as Commissioner Toney Stewart and Commissioner Donnell White. Representing the Chief's office is Deputy Chief Benjaman Lee, soon to be retired. Representing our office at the table this afternoon is our Board secretary, Mr. George Anthony. I'm going to ask Mr. Anthony if you could introduce the rest of our staff for us, please.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. For the record, George Anthony, secretary to the Board. We have present Miss Pamela Davis-Drake, who is our chief investigator, Miss Lolitha Porter-Coleman, our director of police personnel, Miss Celia Banks Washington who is the attorney for the Board, Mr. Robert Brown who is our office manager, Sergeant Allen Quinn, who is recording our proceedings and Miss Wendy Boer from Hanson Court Reporting Service. That concludes the introductions.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Anthony. At this time, I'm going to ask if Commissioner White will lead us in our invocation for this afternoon.

COMMISSIONER WHITE: Let us pause. Dear Heavenly Father, we ask that you bless this meeting, bless those who are called to serve, bless our men and women who each and every day go out and protect the borders of this great city. We ask that we do all these things and lift up this department to your glorification and for the betterment of the citizens of this great city. We ask these things in your mighty name do we pray. Amen.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: We are going to entertain a motion to approve today's agenda, March 21st, 2013. MS. TAYLOR: So moved. COMMISSIONER STEWART: Second. CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: It's been properly moved and supported without objection. All those in favor? COMMISSIONERS: Aye. CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: All those opposed? Motion
carries. There's a series of minutes that we need to approve. I'm going to ask if we can do them all at the same time. The minutes are from Thursday, February 14th, 2013; Thursday, February 28, 2013; Thursday, March 7, 2013; and Thursday, March 14th, 2013.

Entertain a motion.
COMMISSIONER WHITE: Move for adoption.
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: It's been properly moved and supported. All those in favor?

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: All those opposed? Motion carries. No chair report today. At this time, we will move to the Secretary's report. We can actually take the suspension without pay toward the end, right after public comment. But if you have anything else, sir?

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. This is the Secretary's report of citizen complaints that have been received, February, 2013. The monthly count of complaints for 2013 in February was 82, compared to the 2012 monthly count of 91. It represents a 10 percent decrease in complaints. The year-to-date figure for 2013 is 172. For the same period in 2012, it was 200 , represents a 14 percent decrease. At the end of February, 2013, the Office of the Chief Investigator had open investigations, 220; cases filed, 172; cases closed, 169 .

Of the 82 cases filed, 31 percent involved unknown officers. The alleged known units involved leading in complaints filed in February, 2013, were the

12th Precinct, 8 percent; criminal investigation unit, central, second precinct, communications all at 7 percent; Central, Eastern, Northeastern District, 6 percent.

The 82 cases filed in February, 2013, involved 42 allegations where the leading areas of concern were procedure, 29 percent; demeanor, 27 percent; service, 15 percent; force and harassment at 8 percent. Also, you will find in your package, Commissioners, the unknown officers allegations. I can certainly read those figures if you'd like, but they are available to you, sir.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Any questions regarding the Secretary's report concerning investigations? Madame Vice Chair?

COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: NO.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Sir?
COMMISSIONER WHITE: Only to say to the Secretary and our Chief, thank you. I think it's exactly what we had in mind in terms of monitoring the numbers of complaints against the unknown officers. And I think from the data as it is currently being tracked, we can make some certain inferences in terms of improvements department wide. And so I thank you for that level of detail and information.

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Yes, sir.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Any other questions,
comments, for the Board Secretary?
COMMISSIONER STEWART: I have one.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Yes, sir.
COMMISSIONER STEWART: Who do I ask this
question? Is the decrease because of people we losing or is it because of -- what's the reason? Anybody answer that?

SECRETARY ANTHONY: I can only guess. But I think the Department is doing a great job in their training.

COMMISSIONER STEWART: We need to let that be known, it's not because of the decrease, it's because of the job the ones that's doing -- I know we only have a few, and they trying to cut everywhere. But they doing a great job. I think they need to be applauded for what they doing.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Thank you, Commissioner Stewart. Appreciate that. Anything else, Mr. Secretary?

SECRETARY ANTHONY: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Thank you, sir, for that report. At this time, we will move over to the Chief's office.

DEPUTY CHIEF LEE: We do have a presentation. Commander Jeff Romeo from the Civil Rights Integrity Bureau will be the presenter today regarding MAS.

COMMANDER ROMEO: Good afternoon. Commander Jeff Romeo from the Office of Civil Rights. I'm here today to speak about the Management Awareness System. We have a great team that's worked on this system over time. It's evolved into a very integral part of the Department. It's used at our weekly command accountability meeting, the data that comes out of this system. As you talked about citizen complaints, we analyze that data on a frequent basis. That's one of the issues we also use as a tool for mitigating risk when we do identify officers that have multiple citizen complaints for use of force, traffic crashes, those types of high risk type incidents, make sure those officers are evaluated on a regular basis.

Getting into the presentation, the management tool, it's basically a risk management awareness system which we refer to as MAS throughout the Department. We refer to MAS frequently is our risk mitigation tool. It is -- it did evolve out of the Consent Judgment. I know historically we were able to look into early intervention systems prior to even having a Consent Judgment. This obviously bolstered our need to get it
when we had a Consent Judgment. It's objective early intervention. Like I said, we looked at certain types of data.

We also look to use it for information to pull data. Reports are put into the system directly; use of force reports, traffic crash reports, officer injury reports. All that data goes into the system directly. There are some data systems that it pulls from like the law department for lawsuits. So, there's other external databases it pulls from. But it also has some internal information that we can pull in there.

As far as quick and easy information retrieval, one of the really important pieces is an officer has a profile in the system. So, if the supervisor gets somebody newly transferred into their entity to work under them, they can pull or they are required to pull up that member's profile, review it for what type of work product they have had, any types of possible issues related to the work performance; or if they have positive work performance, that's listed in there as well. So, you can easily look at the member's profile. It has their photograph, their badge number, all their assignments, all their training records, any disciplinary history. And the officer can look at their own profiles as well. They can't edit it or anything,
but they can look at it.
And sometimes there are -- there is some incorrect data. So that can be identified by the officer. And that can be reported up and we can work on correcting that if that is identified. But what it works down to basically is having officers supervisors supervise people. This system helps them do that. Some of the goals of MAS is obviously developing best practices. We looked at other agencies that had early intervention systems, New Jersey State Police, Oakland Police Department out in California. We looked at LAPD's system. We talked to some of the developers from there. They looked at our system as well.

We've gotten positive feedback obviously from the monitoring team. As you see the next slide, we have -- this only lists 13 paragraphs. There's actually 14 paragraphs in the Consent Judgments, which makes up about 8 or 9 percent of the Consent Judgements. So, they were at 88 percent. We'd be at 80 if we didn't get compliance with these paragraphs. Also, it's integral in getting span of control because we document our daily assignments in the system in the daily details. So, it documents who is assigned to who in the system. So, supervisor assigned to no more than ten officers. And that's documented within the system.

Thresholds. We changed our approach too as far as members who -- we've had a system in place. And we still have it to a degree where if there's three incidents in a six-month period, we would do an assessment of that officer to see if they need intervention, to identify any problems with that officer's work performance, traffic crashes, like I mentioned, lawsuits as well as citizen complaints and use of force incidents. We started looking at more or less outliers and norming the data. We looked at over a period of time, have these people had performance issues?

When they do have three incidents in a six-month period, we look at some of the historic information related to them to see if there was a prior pattern of behavior. And sometimes we determine that they did. And those three incidents don't necessarily trigger a meeting with officer, intervention type meeting. We started taking a more data-driven approach to assessing whether this officer needs to be evaluated as opposed to just going by numbers.

So, we're trying to do more strategic methodologies to deal with the members as opposed to just looking at three incidents in a six-month period. We are looking at more comprehensive data. And that's
what the outliers is sort of looking at too. When we see somebody who stands out from other members of the same shift or same command or are doing the same type of work, if they have a higher number of incidents of those high risk issues, those people are identified to be monitored. We'll have supervisors make their runs more frequently, review their in-car video more frequently to see how they're interacting with citizens and to monitor them to see if they get any more types of incidents during that monitoring period. All that information is documented within the system.

As mentioned, here is some of the data that's collected. And there's sick to court. That's one of the things we identified was a risk issue. This had nothing to do with the Consent Judgment. We just determined we should capture some of this data to identify any issues with people calling in sick to court. We had a high number of incidents. 2012 data has gone down significantly from 2010. We had 578 sick calls to court during that period in 2010. And then they were reduced in 2011, 456 and then down to 431 for 2012.

Citizen complains as well, there's significant
reductions. We -- just to clarify too, we do have a different methodology for capturing citizen complaints
within MAS as opposed to how the Board collects it. We look at reports. So, if there's a citizen complaint against an officer -- and there are three categories that they're complaining about, service, demeanor and policy, those are not counted as three against the officer. It's counted as one because it's one report. So, we just count one report. We don't count the number of types of complaints against that officer. So, sometimes we did have a question in the past why our numbers were different than the Board's numbers.

Use of forces have decreased, not significantly, but they are down about 50 from two years ago. We monitor that on a frequent basis. Every month, we look at the data to see if we are -- our arrests in comparison to uses of force are consistent. And we look at that and try to identify any trends or issues with that. Intervention meetings, those we had quite a few in 2010, we had 254. 2011 we had 355. Then 2012 we had 213. And part of that reduction was looking at the data a little bit closer and looking at norming and not having to just go based on three incidents in a six-month period because we learned in evaluating this that lot of times supervisors were meeting with these people, command officers were looking at those officers; and they were identifying there were no risk issues
related to the officer's performance.
So, they were closing it out, and they weren't monitoring these people. And they weren't having any further incidents going forward. So, it was more data driven that these officers weren't really problem officers. So, we wanted to lessen the burden on the supervisors of intervention meetings unless there really were risk issues in the officer's past as well as current going forward.

The next step is developing -- well, we do quarterly assessments. We have a team of members within our unit that do risk identification. We call them the risk identification team. That's -- you multi-task. It's not the only thing they do. But they critically evaluate the information in MAS, carry that information and do quarterly assessments and conduct -- do a quarterly report as well on the information we have in MAS. And we analyze that information. And we continue to work on enhancing the system. There's other forms that are being developed. We're developing a different version of the use of force report form as well as the supervisors investigation report.

We are also developing -- we developed an in-car video review form to capture supervisory reviews within the system and to document that information within the system. We have a hard copy form we are currently using. Going forward, we are going to have a digital form pretty soon. And that concludes my report. If there's any questions?

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Thank you, sir. Ma'am?
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Yes, I have one. What type of methods do you use after you intervene? What do you -- what takes place after the intervention?

COMMANDER ROMEO: After the intervention, it depends what the issues are related to the officer. Sometimes it's going to require that they be -- in extreme cases, they will be removed from their assignment. They may have an assignment that's a beat or a detail that's a preferred job. They may be removed from that position for a period of time and monitored to see if their performance continues in that manner. Or sometimes they are left in their position. And lot of times they are just patrol officers. So, there's not many assignments they can go to other than where they are at.

So, they're monitored and they're put on notice that we are going to monitor you. They also have input to the strategy. The supervisors are required to ask -- solicit their input to see, this is what we are planning on doing. Do you have any questions? Do you
have any other alternative ideas that you want to give? So, going forward, they are put on notice that they are going to be monitored and they are going to be watched. It's going to be documented within the system of what transpired. And sometimes it's retraining, re-instruction. Sometimes they will be sent to our office for retraining regarding reporting incidents properly or they're sent to training sometimes for verbal judo type training where they know how to talk to people a little bit more, you know, with a better demeanor towards people.

And so, those issues are really critical going forward because we don't want officers to continue in their behavior and cause us lawsuits down the road or be involved in serious misconduct when we notice that there was a pattern of behavior going on. That's what's happened throughout all the law enforcement agencies across the U.S., where officers continue patterns of behavior, where citizen complaints of use of force are prevalent within their work performance and nobody addresses it. And then the next thing you know, they are out there using excessive force or doing something criminal.

So, we feel this system has saved a lot of officers' careers from not going down that path, knowing
they're being monitored.
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Thank you.
COMMISSIONER WHITE: Thank you, Commander.
Just to be clear, when you talked about the triggers for intervention -- and you mentioned three -- I think it was three instances for a certain offense. I shouldn't say offense but instances or is it no matter what triggers it was, if it's three of them it would trigger it in MAS?

COMMANDER ROMEO: Yes. It could be a combination of like a traffic crash, a citizen complaint, use of force within a six-month period, a combination of those would cause a PEERS to be created. And PEERS is performance evaluation enhancement review session. We use PEERS a lot in our terminology because it's a lot easier to say than that long drawn out explanation. But that goes a long way. Then, you know, we -- going along that route too, the number of PEERS have been reduced because once we do see they don't have a prior pattern of behavior and these incidents happened within a six-month period and there is no major issue, we take them off of the radar. And we don't even require the supervisor to do anything with them.

But if we -- like I can mentioned earlier, but if there are some people that just continue that behavior, that's where we are tasked along with the command staff that command or that work, just to come up with unique ideas to deal with those people.

COMMISSIONER WHITE: And lastly, Commander, does MAS track officer activity, number of arrests, number of encounters, number of calls responded to?

COMMANDER ROMEO: It captures certain data that you mentioned. It doesn't capture calls responded to. It does capture ticket data. We get that from 36th District court. Some of that information is delayed because of their issues with putting that in, resources, it does get delayed. So, it comes sometimes a couple months behind. We also do have the arrests in there. We pull that information from CRISNET, the arrest reporting system we have. So, it does capture that information. It does show up on their profile.

COMMISSIONER WHITE: Is it possible or is there a report that's generated that captures from certain periods of time if you will officer activity not necessarily per officer but maybe Department wide or precinct location on officer activity levels?

COMMANDER ROMEO: I'm sure there's some we can create. There's certain ones we do like for our command accountability meeting on a regular basis. We do look at arrest data on a regular basis department wide, what
the numbers were and what the use of force numbers are. And whether it was a chemical spray, baton or any other type of weapon, acquiring a target as well. So we look at that data. But we don't -- there's always ways of creating other reports though if it's needed. COMMISSIONER WHITE: I will follow up with you. Thank you. DEPUTY CHIEF LEE: Through the Chair, we do capture the officer's monthly activity on our monthly activity reports. So all of the arrests that they do, all of the tickets they write, all that information is captured on a monthly basis.

COMMISSIONER WHITE: Whose monthly report is
that?
DEPUTY CHIEF LEE: Police officer's -- each individual officer, there's a report that's compiled at the end of the month of all the things that they have done within that particular month.

COMMISSIONER WHITE: Okay. And it's given to the shift commander or --

DEPUTY CHIEF LEE: Yes.
COMMISSIONER WHITE: Got you.
COMMISSIONER STEWART: There is one question I might have. This system will actually look at officers and find out what they -- you take a good officer, he
just have one little problem. You just analyze the problem he have and make it -- give him some kind of training to help him get better at what he is -- as far as whether he is not good with people or arrests or comments, or you just take that and make him -- give him a little training so he can get better, is that right? COMMANDER ROMEO: Yes, we do. That's our goal is to get them reinstructed as opposed disciplining. The last thing we want to do is discipline somebody and try to terminate them or move in that direction. The first goal of the system is to identify people that might have some issues and then move forward with trying to get them help. And it's referred to as an early intervention system. A lot of agencies use it. And so it doesn't even matter what the outcome of the investigation is. We want to identify early on so when a complaint is made, we want to look at it right away. COMMISSIONER STEWART: That's a good program, should have been around a long time ago because, you know, I deal with a lot of that too. You can take a good guy, he just have one little problem. You become better. And with the work force we have, I mean, with the officers we have right now, I think this is really -- I'm glad to hear it's around. But I hope it's as effective as you said it could be because I think
more guys who go out there everyday with the hours they working, if they -- if you keep that watch on them and kind of give them what they need to make sure they do an effective job for the people, the people in Detroit, I think this is a good system. I appreciate that.

COMMANDER ROMEO: Thank you very kindly, sir. CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: I just have a few questions. And I want to go back to what Commissioner White had initially asked about the three incidents in a six-month period. And so, if you're not looking at that, what then does pop up on your radar, and what then does trigger, you know, some action on behalf of the Department?

COMMANDER ROMEO: Yes. Well, I'll explain real briefly how it starts for the -- we still do let the system automatically generate a PEERS or meet the threshold. We use that terminology too. So when somebody meets a threshold with three incidents in a six-month period, it goes to our -- we call it our dashboard. It shows up. It doesn't go to the command. We get it in our office. We look at it. We have our staff review it. And sometimes it's bounced up. Should we do something with this person based on their historical performance or is this just a blip on the radar where it doesn't look like there's a pattern of
behaviors, like three totally different types of incidents. So then we will assess it and say let's close it out here. We won't go forward with anything. Or if it looks like a pattern of issues, we will send it to the command, assign it to the command and the span of control supervisor to conduct a meeting with that member. Then on the alternative side, every six months we are looking at all of the performance indicators for these members related to citizen complaints, traffic crashes, uses of force and assessing what we call outliers.

So, anybody that stands out that they have more numbers, higher numbers of uses of force or citizen complaints than their peers at their command, those people are identified. And we require they be monitored for a 90-day period. And so, they didn't necessarily do anything wrong. That's what we always want to convey to people. You know, it may sound like there's something wrong. But there's nothing wrong. We just think that there's a potential they may be engaged in at risk behavior, and we want somebody to look at them, span of control supervisor to look at their work performance, to assess it, to make sure there's no issues going on and document that whether there is something going on.

And sometimes we find out there is something
going on. They might be going through a divorce. Maybe they started, you know, having demeanor issues with people because they aren't feeling good, they aren't getting sleep or whatever. And other times it's just they are out there and they're engaged in dealing with some criminals that are hard core, and sometimes they're resisting arrest and they -- so sometimes there is nothing wrong with their performance.

So, we look at it in a couple different ways to identify those types of people.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Can you for us define threshold, pattern of behavior and outlier and very specifically as relates to what triggers action on each three; so, you know, what meets threshold level, what meets pattern of behavior level and outlier?

COMMANDER ROMEO: Yes. For the thresholds, there are five -- there's one category where it's five incidents in a six-month period. If it's sick calls to court, that's five incidents in a six-month period. Then it creates a member meeting a threshold. If it's all the other like citizen complaints, traffic crashes, uses of force, it's either a combination of those three or just three of those alone by themselves. Somebody will -- a member will meet a threshold during that six-month period.

And there's also another category which is -we probably don't speak enough about it though. If there's members getting awards, commendations, in a six-month period, three of those, it creates a threshold, member meets a threshold. So, they are supposed to be sort of given some extra attention because they are doing good work and they're being recognized for good works. Even though they got the awards, we still want them to be documented within the system that they are getting acknowledgement for that.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: The outliers that you had mentioned earlier, what are the number of complaints or incidents that happen before they are categorized as an outlier?

COMMANDER ROMEO: Commissioner, there's no hard and fast number because we look at all of their peers that work with them. So, the numbers are going to be whatever the numbers are for that six-month period that we are reviewing. So, anybody that stands out with a high number in those categories, those are the people we are going to look at to see that they stand out. Their numbers are significantly higher than the others, their other peers. So, those are the ones we look at and require that they be monitored.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: One of the reasons
certainly I have asked those questions because one of the things we have advised our investigators over at OCI is that when they pull the history and we see that there are at least three complaints against a particular officer and for different issues within a six-month period, we want it to come back to you or to the Chief's office in order that you all make sure that that's flagged in the MAS system. Do you not want us to do that any more?

COMMANDER ROMEO: No. No, we appreciate that because sometimes you all identify early risk issues as well. So, that feedback is invaluable to us. So, no, we appreciate that. And generally too when the system does identify three citizen complaints, even if they are not related, we will look at that. We will require PEERS on that because it just seems odd that somebody would get that many complaints out of blue.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: One last question. The intervention meetings that you would have and the decrease in the number of meetings, is that -- does that have anything to do with staffing as well, the reason why you don't do it at after three incidents in a six-month period?

COMMANDER ROMEO: No, it doesn't have anything to do with staffing at all. Actually, it was based on a
recommendation from the monitor because they even saw a lot of dispositions of the PEERS meetings. And they said that, you know, it looked like lot of times, there really aren't risk issues related to these members. So maybe we should modify your methodology.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Okay, wonderful. Any other questions? Thank you, Commander. Appreciate it, sir.

COMMANDER ROMEO: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Mr. Deputy Chief, anything else?

DEPUTY CHIEF LEE: No. That concludes the Chief's report. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Thank you. Appreciate it. At this time, there is a Resolution to honor Sergeant Lillian Cunningham who is retiring. And I'm going to ask -- I think it was read into the record, wasn't it? I'm going to ask if Commissioner White will read it into the record for us, please.

COMMISSIONER WHITE: Is Sergeant Cunningham with us today? Okay. We will read the therefore be it resolved clause for the Resolution honoring Sergeant Lillian Cunningham.

Resolution Honoring Sergeant Lillian E. Cunningham

Whereas Lillian Cunningham was appointed to the Detroit Police Department on October 7, 1985. Upon graduating from the Metropolitan Police Academy, Officer Cunningham began her career at the First Precinct; and

Whereas Officer Cunningham was also assigned to Recruiting Section, Mini Station Section, Youth Crime Unit, Fourth Precinct, and Narcotics Enforcement; and Whereas Officer Cunningham was promoted to the rank of Sergeant on July 24,1998 , and reassigned to Narcotics Enforcement. Sergeant Cunningham was also assigned to Sex Crimes, Residency, Force Investigation and the Northeastern District where she remained until her retirement on March 23, 2013; and

Whereas Sergeant Cunningham was the deserving recipient of numerous awards from businesses and community organizations. In addition, Sergeant Cunningham attended University of Detroit Mercy where she received her Bachelor's Degree in Criminal Justice and her Master of Arts Degree in Agency Counseling; and

Whereas during Sergeant Cunningham's law enforcement career, she was the deserving recipient of one (1)

Chief's Merit Award, one (1) Chief's Unit Award, four (4) Perfect Attendance Awards, one (1) All Star Game Ribbon, one (1) Rosa Park Ribbon, one (1) Super Bowl, several commendations, and numerous letters of praise from citizens; and

Whereas Sergeant Cunningham has tirelessly served the Detroit Police Department, the citizens of Detroit and its neighboring communities for over 27 years. Her professionalism, commitment to public service, integrity and dedication has been a credit to the Detroit Police Department. She is highly respected by the law enforcement community as a consummate professional.

Now therefore be it resolved that the Detroit Board of Police Commissioners, speaking for the citizens of Detroit and the Detroit Police Department, award this resolution in recognition of Sergeant Lillian E. Cunningham's 27 years of dedicated and diligent public service. Her professionalism, integrity and level of commitment to the city of Detroit and its citizens merit our highest regards and best wishes for continued success.

We salute and congratulate you, Sergeant Lillian E.

Cunningham. Signed, Detroit Board of Police Commissioners, dated and documented this day, March 21, 2013.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Thank you, sir. Hearing the Resolution read into the record, all those -- I'm sorry. Is there a motion to approve the Resolution, to adopt it?

COMMISSIONER STEWART: Uh-huh.
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: It's been properly moved and supported to adopt the Resolution without objection. All those in favor?

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: All those opposed? Motion
carries. Thank you. As well, there's also another
Resolution for Sonia Moore. And this one was read into the record. Do we still need to vote?

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Yes.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: This Resolution honoring Ms. Sonia Moore was read into the record last week, and we need to vote to adopt it. Is there a motion?

COMMISSIONER WHITE: So moved.
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Support.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Properly moved and
supported. All those in favor of its adoption without objection, please say aye.

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Thank you so very much. There are some written directives. Is Lieutenant Bliss here or someone from the Department here to speak on the written directives? Was Lieutenant Bliss transferred out?

COMMANDER ROMEO: Commander Romeo again for the record. No. I think he realized I was coming to the meeting. So he left it up to me to discuss. Again, last week I mistakenly mentioned that these were just being presented to the Board. They had previously been presented. And we were just looking for approval for directives that were -- they had been posted for a time. Now, we have not gotten any feedback related to the policies that have been posted. And they have been posted on the internet. They were also provided at a prior Board meeting to the public for their review and comment. And we are just seeking approval from the Board to have these policies adopted.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Do you have a brief summary of what these are about? If not, we can bring them back next week.

COMMANDER ROMEO: I have the directive
numbers. But for some reason, I don't have the directive names.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: We do have them in the office. How about we do this? Let's bring them back because I want to read them because apparently there's some things in the manual that got in the policy manual that did not come before us. So, I want to make sure we read these now before we vote on them to make sure that we are voting on what we believe we are voting on. So, if we can just bring those back next week with the consent of the Board, and we will vote on it next week.

COMMANDER ROMEO: Okay. Very well. Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Thank you, sir.
Appreciate it. Okay. Our next meeting will be held March 28th, 2013, 3:00 p.m., right here, 1300 Beaubien, Room 328 A. At this time, our agenda calls for oral communications. If you wish to address the Board of Police Commissioners, please come to the podium in the center and give us your name and spell it for our recorder. And we ask that you abide by our two-minute time limit that our secretary I guess will keep. Thank you, sir.

MR. MELVILLE: Good afternoon. Jeff Melville, M-e-l-v-i-l-l-e, Melville's Towing, Detroit, Michigan.

I have two question, and I will try to make them quick and simple. Approximately eight months ago I came to the Board about moving a tow company from the east side to the west side into my tow yard. There was some issues brought up 'cause some tow companies had moved. There was a disciplinary hearing. I know I went as far as court. It was dismissed in court, but it came back down. And I was just wondering what was the outcome of that because like I said, I do want to move a company from the west side to the east side. And if it's good for one company, it must be good for them all. So, if you can answer that question, then $I$ will ask my second question.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Is that still a court action, Madame Attorney? It's still in court, sir. MR. MELVILLE: Okay. No problem. My second question, I went to the City Council Monday, and I asked them a simple question. There is still current towers for the City of Detroit that was involved in the pay to play. The case is over, but nothing has happened to these towers. They have admitted to it you know. No criminal charges are coming down. But my question is, the other contractors that were involved in the other 35 indictments all lost their city contracts. Why are the tow companies still towing for the City of Detroit and
why do they still have current contracts? They've admitted to paying it. They've prospered from it.

It's not fair to the other 16 tow companies that tow for the City. I mean, why is it they still have their contracts? And why, you know, is it fair to us because if nothing happens, then it sets a precedent to the 16 tow companies that it's all right to pay city officials off and, you know, get a spank on the hand or, you know, there's going to be no outcome to it. Gary Brown and Commissioner Tate advised me to come back to the Board of -- Councilman Brown and Councilman Tate advised me to come back to the Board of Police Commissioners because you have investigational powers to look into these allegations.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Absolutely we do not. You know, we absolutely do not, sir. And, you know, I think that's been proven over and over again when we have been at their table. So, from that standpoint I think, you know, that's completely out of our realm and out of our hands. If I'm not mistaken, it was the prosecutor and maybe even the Justice Department that handled all that. And so, my suggestion is that you might want to see the entities that handled that case that you reference and get an answer from them. They may be better equipped to give you an answer because that's above our scope.

MR. MELVILLE: I understand that. But I said City Council passed the buck back down here. My question is if they are not going to be charged criminally, then who do I go to? Do I go to the Chief of Police?

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Again, I think you go to the agencies that did the investigation and brought the charges in the first place. I think you go to them. And they I'm sure will be able to give you clarity on it.

MR. MELVILLE: They don't have the power to terminate people's contracts is what I'm saying. They have the power to arrest and convict and charge. But this is an issue that should be dealt in house with the Board of Police Commissioners, City Council, the City law department. This is an issue in Detroit because this is -- you know, there's not charges coming. But there is --

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Sir, if City laws were broken --

MR. MELVILLE: Which they were. CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: If City laws were broken, that's the City Council's issue. It's not us. MR. MELVILLE: No problem. Like I said, I'm going to go back Tuesday.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: They have a huge investigative staff.

MR. MELVILLE: They passed the buck down to you. This is the reason why $I$ am here today asking.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: That maybe not as big as it used to be, but they've still got a big staff.

MR. MELVILLE: I understand. Thank you.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Melville.
COMMISSIONER WHITE: I would add, Mr. Melville, I would try to get something in writing the fact that they're telling you to do that. I would ask them to state that in writing. And that typically sets the record straight in terms of where the authority lies.

MR. MELVILLE: I've already FOIA'd the tapes from the day of, and I've already handed them over to my law department. And we are just trying to go and make sure things are done right because like I said, it's just not fair to the other tow companies. They gained, they prospered. They have been given millions and millions of dollars worth of contracts. How is it fair to the companies that are struggling?

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Thank you, sir.
MR. MELVILLE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Yes, ma'am.

MS. ROBINSON: Good afternoon. I'm Katrice Robinson, K-a-t-r-i-c-e, Robinson. I was listening to what the Commander had to say about the MAS program, which I think is an excellent program. I wanted to know how will the officers will be held accountable when a citizen comes into a station and complains, saying that they have video or that they have evidence that somebody has broken into their home or that a car has been stolen, a business has been vandalized. And when they come into the police station over and over again, we constantly hear that nobody is listening to their complaints and that they have to come back several times. So, when they take the officer's name at the desk, how will that officer be reprimanded and will that also go in their file?

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: That's a great question, Miss Robinson. What happens is that if the citizens have a complaint, they can either file that complaint right there at the station or they can go to our Office of Chief Investigations, our chief investigator sitting right there to your left. And we take that complaint, and we investigate it. And then we present our findings within 90 days. And after the determination is made, then the process proceeds from there.

MS. ROBINSON: Okay. Thank you.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Thank you, Miss Robinson. If you need to stay after the meeting, certainly you can.

MS. ROBINSON: Thank you very much.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Are there others?
Miss Smith?
MS. SMITH: Good afternoon to the Commissioners. And congratulations to you, Deputy Chief Lee. We are going to miss you. The towers will especially. All right. Now, I think most of you saw the news or heard the news report this morning, was gratifying, what the Mayor went before the news conference that they had today. Those of -- you're not aware of it? Oh, wow. Anyway, the Mayor had a news conference. And it was very inspiring because he had quite a few of the agencies there, the state, Barbara was there, Barbara McQuade, FBI and so forth. I won't go into all the details.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Could you go into some detail because I have no idea what you're talking about? I'm very curious.

MS. SMITH: There was a meeting this morning.
We had it on our airways. It was a 11:00 news conference that -- pertaining to what the new police activities is going to be handling as far as the crime
in the City is concerned. And they brought quite a few of the agencies together. There was Barbara McQuade and Chief Logan, he was there. And the FBI agency was there, state police and the sheriff's agency, Homeland -- oh, about eight or nine of them that was there.

And they were reporting what their jobs were going to be doing by April 1st $I$ believe it is going to start. And they are going to combat the crime. We're going to have all -- they have already 946 troopers that have been trained. And I hope they don't think that we are going to go have them marching out in the streets and so forth. But in the meantime, with the financial management coming into existence, $I$ think that this is going to turn into one of those things where they are going to be looking for problems for the City in the summer because I do know organizations have invited me to come to their meeting Saturday, which I am not going to go. But anyway, I am going to sit and wait and see how this carries out as far as this manager is concerned. I am looking forward to meeting him next week.

But in the meantime, I do hope that there won't be any disturbance in our City because of his position here in our City. And I just want you all to
look at the news tonight, and then they will update you because I was listening to parts of it doing other things. But it is interesting to know that we are going to have protection in our City as far as crime is concerned. And this is what we really are interested in combatting. And me being a citizen and a senior also, I do wish that it will help other seniors also as far as their protection and knowing that we are going to get these criminals off the street. And the way that they are going about it, I feel as though that it will be helpful to most of us, especially the seniors as far as crime is concerned.

So, that's what I suggest that all of you do, watch it on your news. And you will see and get more information in regards to it because it was very interesting. And I enjoyed listening to it. But I do have hesitation about our $R N$ or financial manager because my thought is this when $I$ first heard it this weekend. How in the world you going to come to a city and try to help clean it up financial wise when you got your own personal things that you haven't taken care of your own self as far as liens against your own property? And oh, come on now because I feel as though the governor should investigate it more, even though he was his friend.

See, that's an embarrassment not only to him and to the City also. They are going to say, well, who did the investigation? Don't you investigate people when they come and have a job of that importance? And it is an important job. So, why didn't they investigate his personal background? So, that's my comment for today.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Thank you, Miss Smith. And sir, can you -- because I'm reading -- I'm just reading a blog on -- not a blog but a blurb on the internet. And it says hundreds of people have already been arrested thanks to this initiative that was quietly implemented quietly two weeks ago.

Exactly who are we going after and what are the parameters and what is this?

DEPUTY CHIEF LEE: I'll explain it to you. Through the Chair, it's called Detroit One, a collaboration that we have with the Michigan State Police, the FBI, ATF and the U.S. Attorney General's Office. The part about the arrests that have already been taking place is part of the Nip-It Program that we have where it's Detroit Police officers, Detroit narcotics officers are conducting raids and enforcement actions within the City of Detroit in targeted districts.

So, they've already made arrests, confiscated narcotics, confiscated money, impounded vehicles to impact the crime in those high crime areas. It's a collaborative effort that was formed some time ago. The Chief as well as some of the other executives met with Barbara McQuade from the U.S. Attorney's Office. And they formed what they call Detroit One. And they are going to provide their resources. And they are going to go after the worst of the worst criminals. We have identified those criminals. And we have forwarded that information to the U.S. Attorney General's Office. Rather than charge them state, they are going to charge them federally.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: All right. Now, how does that impact -- because you said with the Nip-It Program, narcotics was involved. How does that impact the Department now seeing as narcotics was disbanded?

DEPUTY CHIEF LEE: They weren't disbanded. Narcotics is still functioning. They are still functioning. They're using forfeiture dollars for their efforts. It's an overtime effort. There's people assigned from the precincts as well as narcotics.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Is there any way we can get some written information on that or is that like super -- I mean, without reading it in the press, I mean, because, you know, when you have joint things like this going on, you would think that it would possibly hopefully come past our desk somehow. I mean, it's just -- it's somewhat awkward to say the least to say that the policy board of the Department knows virtually nothing about this from a relationship that we have with the Chief's office. And it would be very helpful just to know what the heck is going on so if citizens come in and say, my house -- and maybe that's some of the complaints that we have been getting because we have been getting a lot of complaints about raids taking place in people's homes and people not finding anything.

I mean, we've gotten an uptick in that. And so it's helpful to know if this is part of this initiative. I mean, I have read several complaints. And I'm thinking maybe, you know, citizens you know just, you know, not being up front. But apparently now we know something is going on where literally several complaints where homes have been ransacked, children have been in those homes, have been frightened and scared. Guns have been pulled on children and yet just for nothing to have been found and nothing is going on.

And so, we would be very very happy if we could receive some type of formal communication about what's going on with this.

DEPUTY CHIEF LEE: I will make note of that, sir.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Thank you, sir. We appreciate it. Thank you, Miss Smith.

MR. SCOTT: Ron Scott, Detroit Coalition Against Police Brutality. I'm concerned about the same matter that you expressed. You know, Commissioner, we also have gotten complaints similar to your's, which we have referred to the police commission. And I just want to say this. I'm going to take some liberty to say this. Barbara McQuade does not run Detroit. And she's not the new sheriff in town because she got a conviction. And the point of it is $I$ want to make it very clear. The federalization of our city is not going to take place without some challenge to that, whether that be from the Police Department or other departments. What I have raised several times is that the question of crime is not only a military issue. It is a community transformational issue. And that's why the absence of the police commission in this scenario is stark. It's stark. I'm saying it much stronger than you could say it. It's much more important than to put people in uniform with the Mayor saying we are going to wipe out the crime. We are going to get rid of it. Well, let's talk about what the -- let's talk about what
the variables are. Let's talk about how we are going to measure that. Let's talk about what's been done. Let's talk about how that's going to transform the community. Let's talk about what other monies are going to be put in there so that this doesn't happen again.

Again, let's talk about the economic impact in terms of jobs. Let's talk about the development of housing in those areas. So, you can make people feel good. But the transformation of the community is all of our responsibility. And that's what I want to hear the Mayor say. Since he doesn't know what to do, let's let him say something about what we need to do not only on the military end but on the equality of life issues that go with it.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Commissioner Stewart?
COMMISSIONER STEWART: My issue, I got -- and I will be out here to the -- I got a lot of family here. The main thing, obvious seeing myself is that they say they are doing something, they are not doing it. I know media. I been around them a lot. And they will say -they make it look good. But citizens still see crime as rising up. That's why I asked the question earlier because I see it still rising to me. But we want to make sure that when they do -- the only reason I think they try to bypass the commission because we all
citizens. We know what's going on out here.
But I think that's a good issue. They need to have us more involved so we can make sure when the Mayor said we have been arresting people, they arresting people. I don't see nothing going on too much. But I hope we more involved so we can see a lot more going on. I mean, citizens need to know that.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Deputy Chief Lee?
DEPUTY CHIEF LEE: Through the Chair, the second part of that process today, the second press conference involved the community. So, there was several community persons that were present, Reverend Wendell Anthony. I don't know the name of the other female that was present, that was there. As part of that, there was the outreach to the community to have their input as well.

MR. SCOTT: Can I say something?
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Sorry. Hold on one
second. Were you done, Deputy Chief?
MR. SCOTT: I'm not going to say anything because Mr. White was just smiling. I was at a meeting of ALPA) . And I raised this question with Barbara McQuade. I said, it's interesting how you selectively determine who comes to these meetings. All these people that sit here that talk every week, they are the community. We are the community. We come, we spend time. We don't get paid for this. The commission should be honored and respected more because it was the commission that was created out of issues like this in 1974, because they weren't involved, that created the problems with the police department.

When they say the community, don't just put them up there as window dressing. People have concrete and reasonable bases to present. And therefore, they should be there. And I think it needs to be stressed either in a news release or even in a letter or something else that the public can use to say, when you do these things, don't include us at the back end because the Charter has us at the front, at the front end. And the people in the community, like I said, that come to these meetings, how in the world could you not say if you're going to do this, come to the meeting and see who is involved. They know who is involved. And I stress this with Barbara McQuade all the time. They don't like to have people who might have dissenting opinions. But it's the dissent in this country, in America, that makes it great. And so, therefore, you should have all values and all perspectives. If you're going to have it, have 20 or 30 police individuals and have 20 or 30 community folks. And that way, you can
strengthen and transform the community.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Thank you, Mr. Scott. And certainly, we will ask for that transparency. But I'm going to ask if our Chief Investigator can begin to chronicle those cases, especially as relates to raids and especially as relates to force, dealing with narcotics. If you can somewhat chronicle and measure those cases for us. And if -- I don't know if it's possible. And if it's not, then we can start from today. But if you can go back a couple of weeks to see if we had that uptick because I know I have read several cases where there was some questionable raid or what the citizen said was some questionable raid activities where warrants either were or were not presented in a fashion that was consistent with policy.

So, if we can go back and look at those and maybe review them again. I'm not trying to change the findings. But $I$ think we need to at least try and compare and track, you know, this activity that's been going on. And as we move forward, if we are getting a blip on the radar of more activity that could possibly take place. Thank you. Ms. Pannell.

MS. PANNELL: Sharon Pannell, Detroit Police Citizens Academy. I got three phone calls yesterday about that meeting. We didn't find out until yesterday.

I don't know how long they knew the meeting was ready to go for today.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: At least you got a phone call, ma'am.

MS. PANNELL: I got a phone call. And I'll put you on my mail. And I got three e-mails. So, it was about Detroit One. He already -- Deputy Lee already explained it. So that's why I sat back down. I don't have to explain it because he did. And congratulations.

DEPUTY CHIEF LEE: Thank you.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Thank you, Miss Pannell.
Appreciate that. Are there any others who wish to address the Board of Police Commissioners at this time?

MS. SMITH: That should have been in his report, shouldn't it?

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: I'm sorry, Ms. Smith. Are there any others who wish to address the Board of Police Commissioners at this time? Any others? Hearing or seeing none, we will close that portion of our meeting. And I'm going to ask if the Board Secretary will lead us into closed session. The Board is about to go into closed session to consider a personnel issue. That will not be the conclusion of our meeting. We will come back out of closed session to vote on that personnel issue. And so you are welcome to stay if you wish. But this concludes the business up to that point.

Mr. Secretary?
SECRETARY ANTHONY: Thank you, Mr. Chair. As a public body, your meetings are subject to the Open Meetings Act, the OMA. The OMA defines a meeting as the convening of a public body at which a quorum is present for the purpose of deliberating towards or rendering a decision on a public policy, mCL 15.262 B. The OMA, however, does allow a public body to call a closed session, one limited to the public body and its invitees for specific purposes. Only deliberations may be conducted in closed session. All decisions must be made at an open meeting to the public.

While in the closed session, the Board makes no decision. That must be done on the record. On Thursday, March 21, 2013, you will be presented with a suspension without pay recommendation for Police Officer Bradford Bullock, B-u-l-l-o-c-k, Badge 1043, through his representative, DPOA Attorney John Goldpaugh. Police Officer Bradford Bullock has requested a closed session for the purpose of contesting the suspension without pay recommendation. The closed session will be called pursuant to MCL 15.268 A of the Open Meetings Act which states in part, "A public body may meet in a closed session to consider the suspension of or hear charges
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brought against an employee. A simple majority vote or quorum of three is required to close a session for this purpose. At this point, a motion is in order to close the session.

COMMISSIONER WHITE: So moved.
COMMISSIONER STEWART: Second.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: It's been properly moved and supported that we go into closed session to consider the personnel issue that has been stated. All those in favor?

COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: All those opposed? Motion carries. Thank you. We will go into closed session now.
(The Board of Police Commissioners entered a closed session from 4:09 p.m., to 4:45 p.m.)

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: All right. Are you ready? Mr. Anthony, can you bring us back into open session, please?

SECRETARY ANTHONY: Mr. Chair, you have met in a closed session. And it is now appropriate for a motion to come back into the public meeting.

COMMISSIONER WHITE: Move to reconvene.
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: Second.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: It's been properly moved
and supported to reconvene. All those in favor?
COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Opposed? Okay, sir.
SECRETARY ANTHONY: Mr. Chair, the Board has met in closed session to consider the suspension without pay recommendation for Police Officer Bradford Bullock, Badge 1043. And unless the Board contravenes the recommendation of the Chief, then this suspension without pay recommendation will stand.

COMMISSIONERS: Contravened.
SECRETARY ANTHONY: So, there appears to be several contraventions.

CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Absolutely. So, the suspension without pay will not stand. And let me just say this, and if $I$ can put this on the record. And, Attorney, please stop me. But I think it's important that we make sure that policies for the Department are clearly identified and are clearly enforced when matters such as this come to us. Obviously, we deliberate and make our decision on what's put in writing before us. And we certainly cannot go outside those bounds. And so, we just admonish the Department just to please, you know, make sure that those policies are in place and taken care of. Thank you. Is there any other business, sir?
SECRETARY ANTHONY: No, sir.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Sir, at this time I entertain a motion to adjourn the meeting.
COMMISSIONER TAYLOR: SO moved.
COMMISSIONER STEWART: Second.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: It's been properly moved and supported. All those in favor?
COMMISSIONERS: Aye.
CHAIRMAN WARFIELD: Meeting is adjourned.
(Proceedings concluded at 4:46 p.m.)
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